			5
1		•	
2		EXHIBITS (Cont'd)	
3	9	List of numbers	36
4	10	List of numbers	37
5	11	Document entitled "Chronology of Peter Rossi"	50
6	12	Document entitled "Haines v. Liggett	
7	12	Deposition Testimony Regarding Smoking Habits of Peter Rossi"	51
8		<u>-</u>	
9	13	Document entitled "Research on Cigarette Promotion (as of August 1991)"	53
10		·	
1 1	14	Document entitled "Research on Cigarette Promotion (as of August 1991)"	53
1 2		·	-
13	15	Document entitled "Peter Rossi Timeline Re: Brands Smoked" and attachment	56
14			
15	16	Document entitled "Recent Research Related Activity (as of Sept. 1991)"	57
16	17	Curriculum vitae of R.W. Pollay	59
17	18	Handwritten document	61
18	19	Letter from Mr. Kearney to Ms. Walters dated 4/11/90 and	
19		attachment	68
20	20	Document entitled "Cigarettes Under Fire: Blowing Away the PR	
21		Smoke Screen"	68
22	21	Document entitled "The more things changenew images appear over	
23		the decades, but the goals of cigarette advertising remain the	
2 4		same "	68
25			

```
(Before Gary M. Talpins, a Certified
    Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State
3
    of New Jersey, held at the offices of Messrs. Budd,
    Larner, Gross, Rosenbaum, Greenberg & Sade, 150
5
    John F. Kennedy Parkway, Short Hills, New Jersey,
6
    on Tuesday, September 17, 1991, commencing at 10:00
7
    a.m.)
8
```

10

11

12

POLLAY, Faculty of RICHARD W. Commerce, U.B.C., Vancouver, Canada, Sworn.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ALLINDER:

- Dr. Pollay, would you state your full name for the record, please?
 - Richard Warren Pollay, P-o-1-1-a-y. Α.
 - What is your professional address, sir?
- Faculty of Commerce, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.
- Dr. Pollay, I'm going to hand you a Q. check for \$2,000 as payment for your testimony today.

MR. ALLINDER: Would you mark this, 24

25 please. 1 | Pollay - direct

don't know the answer to, I expect that you will tell me I don't know the answer, you will not speculate or guess. Will you do that?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. I will also assume that the answers that you provide will be based on your personal knowledge or on your opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific probability or certainty. If you are not going to testify to that standard, will you please specify so in your answer?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. I am also going to ask you some questions that hopefully can be answered with either a yes or no answer. Some of my questions I think will require a longer answer. Those that can be answered yes or no, will you try to answer them in that fashion, please?
- A. Yes, I will, with, of course,
 qualifications when I want to explain my response.

 MR. DUKE: Pardon me, doctor, would you

22 please keep your voice up.

THE WITNESS: I had the same difficulty when you were giving your names. I think the background air circulation system is a problem.

MS. WALTERS: We could turn it off but I suspect it would get pretty hot in here.

MR. DUKE: I think it would be more comfortable if the doctor just raised his voice.

- Q. Dr. Pollay, I will try not to interrupt your answers and I will ask you to try to not interrupt my questions and when you are done answering a question, I will assume that you have answered it completely. Do you understand that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Have you been apprised by Mrs. Walters of the ruling by Magistrate Hedges on September 5th concerning the scope of expert discovery in this case?
 - A. No, I have not, to my knowledge.
- examination in this deposition will not intentionally cover areas that are currently presmpted under the law that's in effect in the Third Circuit and it is possible that your further deposition will be necessary in this case depending upon whether the law changes before the case is tried. Do you understand that?
 - A. Yes.

A.

Pollay - direct

essentially two groups of materials. There are several stacks immediately to your front and then there is a second stack of materials somewhat removed from the remainder. Do you see these?

A. I don't understand what you mean by two groups. There seem to be several kinds of materials.

MR. ALLINDER: Mrs. Walters, this stack of material that is out in the middle of the table that I'm indicating, those are the materials that were not produced to us on September 12th. Is that correct?

MS. WALTERS: Those were documents that Dr. Pollay reviewed in connection with the Cipollone case. All of the Cipollone documents are documents that he has reviewed and may rely upon at the time of trial. They weren't in Canada; therefore, they weren't part of the Canadian production so we are producing them here today for completeness but you, I would say, have already seen those. They are part of the Cipollone documents and then there are two additional documents that were given to you today, one is a

Pollay - direct 1 produced document from one of the defendants, 2 84440122, it's a Lorillard document, 1961, Lennen & 3 Newell advertising presentation, which we did not produce to you as part of the production so we are 5 doing that now. 6 MR. ALLINDER: Can you read the last 7 page of that document, the identification on the 8 last page, please? 9 MS. WALTERS: 84440218. And then there 10 is an article written by Dr. Pollay that I don't 11 think we had until yesterday. So we are giving 12 that to you now. I don't know if you have seen it 13 14 or not. MR. ALLINDER: What is the title of 15 16 that article? MS. WALTERS: "Signs and symbols in 17 American cigarette advertising, a historical 18 analysis of the use of pictures in health." 19 THE WITNESS: The citation is on the 20 last page. 21 22 23 BY MR. ALLINDER: Dr. Pollay, that is a published version 24 Q. of that article? 25

25

four, five, six and seven, please.

(Whereupon the documents were received

Yes, this is now the Haines case.

And last December, you were deposed in

24

25

A.

Q.

- Pollay direct
 the Cipollone case. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. You have made two productions of materials in the Haines case. Is that correct?
- A. That's right, materials were produced in two locations, some materials produced in Vancouver and some materials produced here.
- Q. The first occasion was on September 5th in Vancouver. Do you recall that date?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. This first production, as I understand it, includes the materials that you had collected prior to December 1, 1990, and produced to defendants in Cipollone?
- A. That's correct. It was an identical production to what was produced in Cipollone.
- Q. And this production also, as I understand it, did not include the materials that you produced to defendants prior to your first deposition in Cipollone. Is that correct?
- A. It did not include the corporate documents. It included all my own work, my own publishing and my materials in my possession.
 - Q. Did it include, for example, the

- background information and materials on the themes
 and tactics content analysis?
 - A. It included the full report on the themes and tactics including all the technical appendices.
 - Q. And the second occasion on which you produced materials to defendants in response to this request was on September 12th here in Mrs. Walters' office. Is that correct?
 - A. I didn't produce that material, Miss Walters produced that material for you.
 - Q. Did any of that material originate with you? Did it come from you?
 - A. Some of the material, anything that I had authored and published I forwarded to her so that it could be produced here, anything supplemental to what had been produced in Vancouver.
 - Q. Your September 12th production, as I understand it, consists of materials that were either generated after December 1, 1990, or were received by you after December 1, 1990, and which you reviewed and relied on for your opinions in this case. Is that correct?

Pollay - direct

A. I believe so but perhaps I should have a repeat of the question.

MR. ALLINDER: Read it back, please.
(Whereupon the record was read.)

- A. Not precisely. It probably includes materials that were generated by me before then, which were in the hands of publishers, which would have been published in the intervening period. I provided a full update of my work on cigarette advertising, my research and reports.
- Q. So it included, this production, then, included materials that came into your possession after December 1, 1990. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, what I want to do now is start going through some of these materials that are in front of you that were produced to defendants on September 12th. And let's see whether we can take this in any order or some order that makes sense and that will be easier. What I'm going to do is we will take them one at a time and then we will try to move them back a little bit so we can keep them straight on what we have looked at and what we haven't.

Can you start with that white notebook in front of you. What is that that you now have in front of you, Dr. Pollay?

- A. This white notebook is a research product of mine entitled "Cigarettes through the advertising ages." It includes two reports, each providing information as was published originally in the trade magazine Advertising Age, the first report, co-authored with an Anne Lavack, covering the years 1950 through 1959; and the second report, co-authored with David Carter-Whitney, covering the years 1960 through 1964.
 - Q. Were they prepared at the same time?
 - A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And there is an August 1991 date on the notebook. Is that correct?
- A. That's correct, they were completed at the end of August 1991.
- Q. And did you indicate that this is a working paper?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. I also believe that it's indicated in that notebook that this is a research finding aide, is that what you consider it to be?

1	Pollay - direct
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. What is the information that is
4	contained in this notebook and how was it compiled?
5	A. It's all of the information that was
6	published in the trade magazine Advertising Age
7	during this 15 year interval, 1950 to 1964, on
8	cigarettes and cigarette advertising.
9	Q. Was Advertising Age published prior to
10	1950?
11	A. Yes, it was.
12	Q. And was it published after 1964?
13	A. Yes, it was.
14	Q. How often is Advertising Age
15	published? What is the frequency of publication?
16	A. It has been variable but through most
17	of this period, it was once a week.
18	Q. Have you reviewed Advertising Age
19	issues for information pertaining to cigarettes for
20	the period after 1964?
21	A. We are currently in the process of
22	doing that.
23	Q. You have no final product in your
24	possession at the present time?
	a makak da samusak

- Q. What was the reason you prepared this notebook?
- A. As part of my ongoing research efforts on cigarettes and cigarette advertising, my historical work generally.
- Q. Do you rely on this notebook for your opinions in this case?
- A. It includes much information that's probably not of relevance to this case but it does include information about the marketing of Kents and Lorillards, which is part of my knowledge that I will rely on.
- Q. Is the copy of the notebook that you have in front of you your personal copy?
- A. No, it was a copy provided to this office for production purposes, one you can use.
- Q. Does the copy that you have in your possession contain highlighting or annotations or notations or is it in any way different from the copy that you have in front of you?
- A. The one that I have in my possession containing highlights?
 - Q. That's correct.
 - A. No, it would not.

Pollay - direct 1 2 3 5 6 7 this case, do you? 8 9 no restrictions on it. 10 11 12 13 copy. Q. 14 . 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. 17 18 19 20 What is that? 21 Q.

22

23

24

25

MS. WALTERS: You mean as it relates to this case? He may have copies that he used for other purposes. I just want to clarify the question. You aren't asking whether he has any copies in his possession that may have highlighting on it that may relate to some other purpose than

MR. ALLINDER: My question as posed has

MS. WALTERS: I object to the form.

- This seems to be a clean copy, as is my
 - So they are identical?
- Dr. Pollay, will you move that notebook out of the way and perhaps hand it to me so I can get it away from these other materials and can you pick up the blue notebook.

(The witness complies.)

- This is another finding aide, it's entitled "A scientific smokescreen," subtitled "a documentary history of some public relations efforts for and by the Tobacco Research Council,

there are portions of the text that is highlighted?

- Q. Did you ask that these materials be sent to you or were they just included in materials that Mrs. Walters selected and sent to you?
- A. They were included in what Miss Walters sent to me.
- Q. I don't think you are answering my question. Did you ask specifically that these advertisements and articles be sent to you or were they merely included in materials which she selected and sent to you for your review?

MS. WALTERS: He did answer. You gave him two alternatives. Therefore, the question is objectionable. But as to the two alternatives, his response was to select the latter alternative.

Q. Perhaps I misunderstood your answer.

Your answer, then, was you did not ask specifically
for these materials, they were just included in
things that Mrs. Walters sent to you?

MS. WALTERS: His answer was what it was. The record will speak for itself.

- Q. I'm going to ask the question again,
 Dr. Pollay. Did you ask Mrs. Walters to send this
 notebook to you?
 - A. No, I did not.

1	Pollay - direct
2	MS. WALTERS: That's a new question.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	Q. Do you rely on these materials for your
5	opinion in this case?
6	A. Yes, I do.
7	Q. Do you have a copy of this notebook?
8	A. Yes, I do.
9	Q. And does your copy of this notebook
0	differ in any way from the one that you have in
1 1	front of you?
1 2	A. No, it does not. I trust it does not.
13	Q. So as far as you know, there is no
l 4	highlighting or annotations or anything on your
1 5	version of this notebook?
16	A. That's correct.
17	MR. ALLINDER: Mark this, please, as
8 1	the next exhibit.
19	(Whereupon the notebook was received
20	and marked Exhibit 8 for identification.)
2 1	Q. Dr. Pollay, I'm handing you what has
2 2	been marked as Exhibit 8. This I believe is a copy
23	of the notebook you were just describing. Does it
2 4	appear so to you?
25	A It appears so, ves.

Q. May I have this notebook back from you, please.

Dr. Pollay, I'm handing you two large black binders. What are these?

- A. They are marked Plaintiff's Exhibits
 2375A and 2375B and they include large format
 advertising, sometimes known as tear sheets,
 apparently from a magazine like Life. They
 reproduce -- and some Xerox reproductions of
 similar ads. The first is a binder of Chesterfield
 ads and they seem to be those that were Plaintiff's
 exhibits in the Cipollone case. Binder B is a
 continuation of that and it's additional exhibits
 P-31 and following.
- Q. Were these also exhibits to Rose Cipollone's deposition?
- A. Yes, I believe they were, and it includes, in addition to Chesterfield ads, it includes L&M ads and there seem to be some missing pages and Old Gold ads and odds and ends.
- Q. Were these materials that were in your possession or were they sent to you by Mrs. Walters?
 - A. I do not recall. I remember the last

```
Pollay - direct
1
     time I saw them, they were part of the deposition
2
     cross examination. I believe they have been here.
3
                 Did you send these notebooks back to
     Mrs. Walters within the past two or three weeks to
5
     include in your production?
6
                 No, I have not.
7
          A.
                 MR. CRIST: Can we go off the record
8
9
     just a second.
                 (Whereupon a discussion took place off
10
     the record.)
11
                 Dr. Pollay, when was the last time that
12
          Q.
     you reviewed these advertisements?
13
                 In the deposition in December in
14
     Vancouver.
15
                 December 1990?
16
          Q.
17
          A.
                 December 1990.
                 Do you rely on these advertisements for
18
     your opinions in this case?
19
20
          A.
                 Yes. They are part of -- yes.
                 May I have those back, please.
21
          Q.
22
          A.
                 (Handing).
                 Dr. Pollay, I'm going to hand you a
23
     stack of materials that are rubber banded
24
25
     together. What are these?
```

documents be sent to you?

see, through 1981, at least.

```
Pollay - direct
```

- Q. Do you? There should be a fourth. The fourth page will be Exhibit 10. Excuse me.
- (Whereupon the document was received and marked Exhibit 10 for identification.)
- Q. I will now hand you the fourth page, which is Exhibit 10. These are documentation number lists. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And they are intended to correspond to the document identification numbers of the four stacks of documents you have just identified. Will you take a moment, please, and look through that stack and see whether or not they do conform?
- A. Certainly. Is it okay if I mark on this?
 - On which, on the exhibit?
 - A. Maybe I shouldn't.
- Q. Certainly, that's fine.
 - A. Or you can give me a working copy.
- Q. You can put a checkmark next to those as you go through them. That's fine. The stack that you have will be the third page of Exhibit 9. I think you have the right page there.
- A. Yes.

```
Pollay - direct
```

- Q. Have you completed reviewing the list,
 Dr. Pollay?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And do you agree that the list which are Exhibits 9 and 10 are the document identification numbers of the four stacks of documents we have previously discussed?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Thank you. May I have that stack, please.
 - A. (Handing).
 - Q. Could you look next at this stack of materials here, please. Can you tell me what these are?
 - A. These seem to be deposition transcripts for Virginia Becker, Martha Rossi, Arthur Becker, Thomas Barbera and another volume for Martha Rossi. There are other deposition transcripts around, I know. These are some of the deposition transcripts in this case.
 - Q. You identified Virginia Becker and Arthur Becker, Martha Rossi and Thomas Barbera. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

Q.

Pollay - direct

O. Have

- Q. Have you marked or highlighted or underscored your copies of these depositions?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. So as far as you know, they are identical in appearance to the ones that you have in front of you?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you rely on this deposition testimony for your opinions in this case?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Do you rely on those deposition summaries that are in front of you now?
 - A. I have not read all of them, all of the summaries. The ones I have read I guess I do rely on. I find them, of course, redundant with the content of the depositions themselves.
 - Q. Which ones have you not read?
 - A. It will be a little difficult to be certain of that.
 - Q. You don't recall specifically which ones you looked at and which ones you didn't?
 - A. That's correct. When I received these,
 I read the depositions for myself and then in
 rereview, I used the summaries as a way of

Pollay - direct

from the ones that you have in front of you now?

- A. Not that I know of.
- Q. Again, from the standpoint of whether you have highlighted them or marked on them in any fashion?
- A. I don't recall. I haven't looked at these in several years.
- Q. And the next materials that you are going to identify are what?
- A. This seems to be the exhibit book associated with the deposition, again with respect to Cipollone done on November 30th and December 1, 1990.
 - Q. And the next item, please?
- A. Actually, I would say it must be one of the exhibit books because it starts with Exhibit 6. The next items are three volumes, 54, 55 and 56, of my testimony and cross examination with respect to RJR-MacDonald and Imperial Tobacco against the Attorney General of Canada.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, these transcripts that you currently have in front of you have some changes in them, some handwritten notes in different locations. Can you find those and tell me whether

transcripts that you have in your possession are identical to the ones that you have in front of you now?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, since you have sent these copies to Mrs. Walters recently, I'm not going to ask you to continue your review for each one of the changes. I take it there were some changes in the version that you sent to Mrs. Walters. Is that correct? You had made some markings on the document?
- A. At one stage, I did read my own testimony and made some small notations where the court reporter, who was bilingual, may have misheard the English.
- Q. That's fine. Thank you. Is there another stack of materials in front of you that we have not identified that are transcripts?
- A. These also seem to be incomplete in that my expert opinion as such does not seem to be appended to this, although it has been produced to you.
- Q. What is the title of your expert opinion?

- Q. May I have those materials, please, that you just identified.
 - A. (Handing).
- Q. Dr. Pollay, you have, I think, one more stack of things in front of you. Could you pick up those?
- A. I'm not sure which stack you are referring to. Everything?
- Q. Why don't you take them all and we will go through them one at a time. It's a small stack.

Can you start by -- just start identifying the documents that you have in front of you.

A. The document on top is a reprint of an article of mine called "Signs and symbols in American cigarette advertising, a historical analysis of the use of pictures of health." It's a published version of the report described as "Themes and tactics," the basis for the Cipollone testimony, and it is identical to that except for some introductory paragraphs.

MR. ALLINDER: Mrs. Walters, as I understand it, this was the document that was

```
Pollay - direct
1
     produced to us today and was not included last
2
     Thursday. Is that correct?
3
                 MS. WALTERS: Yes.
                 The next document, please, Dr. Pollay?
5
          Q.
                 Should we do these separately?
          A.
 6
                 Would you please.
 7
          Q.
                 The first is a chronology of Peter
          A.
8
     Rossi, two pages, providing dates of birth,
9
     marriage, education and the like.
10
                 Did you prepare that document?
          Q.
11
                 No, I did not.
12
          Α.
                 Have you reviewed it?
          Q.
13
                 Yes, I have.
          A.
14
                 How did you obtain it?
          Ο.
15
                 It was provided by the Plaintiff's
16
          A.
     attorneys.
17
                 When did you receive it?
          Q.
18
                  I believe at the same time I received
19
     the depositions.
20
                 MR. ALLINDER: Mark that, please.
21
                  (Whereupon the document was received
22
     and marked Exhibit 11 for identification.)
23
                 Dr. Pollay, I'm handing you what has
24
          Q.
     been marked Exhibit 11. Is that a copy of the
25
```

```
Pollay - direct
 1
     document you just discussed and described?
 2
 3
          A.
                 Yes, it is.
          Q.
                 Can you identify the next document,
 5
     please?
 6
          Α.
                 The next document is deposition
     testimony regarding smoking habits of Peter Rossi.
 7
     It's a chronology of Mr. Rossi's smoking habits.
 8
 9
          Q.
                 Did you prepare that document?
                 No, I did not. I identify it as being
10
11
     prepared by Budd, Larner.
12
          Q.
                 Have you reviewed it?
                 Yes, I have.
13
          Α.
                 When did you review it?
14
          Q.
15
                 Sometime in the spring or summer.
          Α.
                 Do you rely on it for your opinions in
16
          Q.
17
     this case?
                 Yes, to the extent it's redundant with
18
     the depositions. It is a summary of the
19
     depositions.
20
21
                 MR. ALLINDER: Mark that, please.
                  (Whereupon the document was received
22
     and marked Exhibit 12 for identification.)
23
                 Dr. Pollay, I'm handing you Exhibit
24
25
     12. Is that a copy of the document you just
```

document of mine listing the research on cigarette

```
Pollay - direct
1
     promotion as of August 1991, one copy marked to
2
     indicate those items that are new since the
3
     Cipollone production of 12/90, December 1990.
                 These documents are identical except
5
     for the annotations you just indicated?
6
                 Yes, they are.
7
          A.
                 MR. ALLINDER: Mark these, please.
8
                 (Whereupon the documents were received
9
     and marked Exhibit 13 and Exhibit 14 for
10
     identification.)
11
                 Dr. Pollay, I hand you Exhibits 13 and
12
          Q.
     14. Are these copies of the two documents you just
13
     described?
14
                 Yes, they are.
15
          Α.
                 These documents, Dr. Pollay, you
16
          Q.
                Is that correct?
17
     prepared.
                 That's correct.
18
                 Are these documents essentially
19
     excerpts from information that is contained in your
20
     curriculum vitae?
21
                 That's correct.
22
          A.
                 And these materials are those
23
     publications and writings of yours that pertain to
24
     cigarette advertising and promotion?
25
```

A.

Q.

24

25

Occasionally. It's much more rare.

Who specifically, do you recall?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Pollay - direct

A. No, I don't recall by name but I do recall there was a fellow in New Orleans who called me by phone and asked me to send him some stuff, which I did, but we have had no correspondence so I have no file for him. But it's almost exclusively academics, who themselves are doing academic research.

- Q. Does Professor Daynard have a copy of these documents?
- A. I doubt that, unless someone else has provided it to him.
 - Q. Not to your knowledge, at least?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, can you identify the next document that you have in front of you, please?
 - A. The next document is a graphic representation of Peter Rossi's smoking chronology.
 - Q. Did you prepare that document?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Have you reviewed it?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. When did you receive it?
- A. I received a copy of this with the original depositions.

That's correct, as of the first of

24

25

vitae?

```
1
     Pollay - direct
     September, 1991.
 2
                 And it's current through that date, I
 3
          Q.
     take it?
                 Yes.
 5
          A.
                 And your C.V. contains an accurate
 6
          Q.
     description of all of your writings and
 7
     publications. Is that correct?
 8
                 Yes, it does.
 9
10
                 MR. ALLINDER: Would you mark this,
11
     please.
                 And that, of course, would be redundant
12
          A.
13
     with the information provided in the recent
     research, the research on cigarette promotions.
14
                  (Whereupon the document was received
15
     and marked Exhibit 17 for identification.)
16
                 I'm handing you Exhibit 17. Is that a
17
          Q.
     copy of your most recent curriculum vitae?
18
                 Yes, it is.
19
          A.
                 And if you were to revise your C.V.
20
          Q.
     today, recognizing, of course, that you prepared it
21
     only a short time ago, will you make any changes in
22
     it?
23
                 No, I would not.
24
          Α.
                 Can you identify the next document in
25
          Q.
```

Pollay - direct 1 front of you, please? So we are not going to -- this is an 3 exhibit but this is the one that's an invalid copy. That's the one that doesn't contain the 5 Q. right pages or all the pages. 6 The next document is a typescript 7 of an article called "Separate but not equal: 8 Racial segmentation in cigarette advertising." Is this article in publication? 10 Q. It's currently in review at the Journal 11 A. 12 of Advertising. This is an article that you prepared, I 13 Q. take it? 14 That's right. 15 Α. In conjunction with the co-authors? 16 Q. That's right. 17 Α. What is the date on the document that Q. 18 you have in front of you? 19 August 28, 1991. 20 It indicates second revision below 21 Q. that. Is that correct? 22 That's correct. 23 Could you identify the next document in 24 front of you, please? 25

A. The next document are Xerox copies of my handwritten notes on some of the corporate documents we have previously identified, the Lennen & Newell Advertising Agency documents, primarily, with respect to Kent in the 1960's.

- Q. When did you prepare these notes?
- A. I don't recall. They are not dated,
 but it would have been in the spring sometime, when
 I first received those documents, first had the
 opportunity to take the time to review them.
- Q. How long after you received the documents from Mrs. Walters did you prepare these notes?
- A. I don't recall.

MR. ALLINDER: Mark that, please.

(Whereupon the document was received and marked Exhibit 18 for identification.)

- Q. I'm handing you Exhibit 18. Is that a copy of the document you have just described?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Thank you. And would you identify the next document?
- A. Yes. The next document -- these are separate documents? They are two component parts

draft comments about the illustrations but they

off the record, which is several additional

	-											
tran	scri	pts	of	the	C i	pol	lon	e tesi	timony	of	Dr.	
Poli	lay,	the	las	st d	lay	or	two	from	his m	ost	rece	n t
depo	siti	on i	n C	ipo	110	ne;	I	believ	ve one	vo:	lume	of
the	exhi	bite	3 , E	18 V	/el]	١.						

- A. All my documents have been produced.

 That is all the things I have authored, including my expert opinion in the Canadian trial and the scientific smokescreen and anything else at all.
- Q. Do you agree, Dr. Pollay, that I have not failed to identify any materials that you produced to us on September 12th?
- A. I believe so. It's just not sitting in front of us here.
- Q. I'm sorry, is that an exception? Is there something else that is not in front of us here?
- A. You may have identified all of that but we previously commented about material sitting in front of us and there are materials that have been produced that are not sitting in front of us.
 - Q. On September 12th or in Vancouver?
 - A. In Vancouver.
- Q. So as far as you know, the materials that were produced to defendants last week are

When did you start your review?

those that we have in front of us and that we have

Q.

Pollay - direct

1

2

A. The review of those specific videotapes
I did this morning but many of the ads were
familiar to me from having seen the campaigns both
in print and from my own recollection of the
television materials that I have seen elsewhere.

MS. WALTERS: He would also have reviewed the Cipollone videotape in connection with Cipollone which he does not have, is in our possession, and that would be part of the materials he would rely upon in this case.

- Q. Have you reviewed that recently, Dr. Pollay, or is that something you reviewed sometime ago?
 - A. The Cipollone videotapes?
 - Q. That's correct.
- A. It has not been too recently but I have seen the videotape again since the trial, since the Cipollone trial.

MR. CRIST: May I make a quick comment. I don't know what videotape it is that Miss Walters just referred to. Reynolds was not a party to Cipollone and it is something that I have not seen, to the best of my knowledge.

MS. WALTERS: If you want, I will

```
1
     Pollay - direct
                 MR. ALLINDER: Would you mark this,
 2
 3
     please.
                 (Whereupon the document was received
     and marked Exhibit 19 for identification.)
 5
                 Dr. Pollay, I'm handing you Exhibit
 6
          Is that a copy of the April 11, 1990, letter
 7
     from Mr. Kearney and enclosures that you had
 8
     described earlier?
 9
10
          Α.
                 Yes, it is.
                 (Whereupon documents were received and
11
     marked Exhibits 20 and 21 for identification.)
12
                 I'm handing you Exhibits 20 and 21.
13
     Are these copies of the Media & Values publication
14
     you identified earlier?
15
16
          Α.
                Yes, they are.
                 And I apologize, Dr. Pollay, I have
17
          Q.
     forgotten, what is it that you called Exhibit 21?
18
                 It's referred to as a sidebar.
19
          Α.
                 Dr. Pollay, I think you previously
20
          Q.
     indicated that we have now identified the materials
21
     that you produced to us on September 12th and
22
     thereafter.
23
                 I believe so.
24
          Α.
                 Is it correct that the documents and
25
          Q.
```

for what kind of advertising and news he well might

- 2 have been exposed to.
 - Q. I understand the distinction and to make sure we understand each other, the information that you produced on September 5th, which was the Cipollone materials --
 - A. And my own research.
 - Q. -- and your own research, do not include materials that relate specifically to Peter Rossi in the sense that they are not materials that have his name on it or pertain to him, describe him in any way. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And also the materials that we have gone through and identified that you produced on September 12th are all materials that you have reviewed and rely on for your opinions in this case. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Let me find for you a copy of Exhibit 2.

 Do you have Exhibit 2 in front of you?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. If you will turn, please, to the production request, where it starts on the third from the last page, I would like to go through this

very quickly with you. Paragraph one asks you to produce all documents, materials reviewed and relied upon in connection with the anticipated testimony at trial, summarized in the letter of Cynthia Walters, Esq., dated March 15, 1991, including, but not limited to, all research, analyses, drafts, notes, reports, scripts, work papers, references, note cards, summaries, outlines, published and unpublished articles, correspondence, advertising, whether in hard copy, audio or videotape, slide, transparency, computer generated or any other format. Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have materials other than what you have produced to defendants that are responsive to this request?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. Paragraph --
- A. There are other materials, of course, that I have been privileged to see in connection with other court action which I'm not at liberty to produce.
- Q. Have you reviewed and do you rely on any of those materials for your opinions in this

Q.

25

In other matters, in other litigation?

It's my understanding that he read Time

23

Q. Do you have documents other than what you have produced to defendants that are responsive to this request?

24

25

A. No, I do not.

- Q. Paragraph 1C asks you to produce all documents and materials relating to governmental action, legislation, regulation, governmental reports and news stories pertinent to cigarette smoking and health. Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Do you have any documents or materials responsive to this request that you have not produced to defendants?
- A. No, not in addition to the chronological notes I provided.
- Q. Chronological notes that were provided in Cipollone. Is that correct?
- A. That's correct, and also cigarette ads through the advertising agents, a similar kind of document.
- Q. And that also has been produced to defendants?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. What is your definition of pertinent to smoking and health?
- A. Either stories about efforts on the part of public health officials like the Surgeon General or the cigarette manufacturers and the

Pollay - direct

purveyors of smoking, so news about legislation or

proposed legislation.

- Q. Dealing with what subjects?
- A. Dealing with cigarettes and cigarette advertising.
- Q. What is the health portion of that phrase, what does that mean, the phrase pertinent to smoking and health?
- A. Some of the stories would relate to alleged health consequences of smoking.
- Q. Does your definition of pertinent to smoking and health include all materials that relate to cigarette advertising and promotion?
- A. I have provided all those materials that I have with the thought that they might be judged by you people as pertinent to smoking and health. Not all of the cigarette advertising information is necessarily -- has a health aspect to the story but I provided everything.
- Q. Paragraph 1D asks you to produce all documents and materials relating to marketing and advertising decisions made by cigarette firms including decisions about smokers' understanding and awareness of health concerns related to

is a reference to decisions about smokers'

understanding and awareness of health concerns

24

1 Pollay - direct related to cigarette use. My question is are 2 "awareness" and "understanding" synonymous, as you 3 use them? 5 Again, this is not my authorship, this 6 is something written by Cynthia Walters. 7 Distinctions certainly can be made between "awareness" and "understanding." 8 Did you review this letter with Mrs. 9 Q. 10 Walters before March 15, 1991? I don't recall reviewing it in detail. 11 12 I don't remember seeing it before it was sent. 13 Q. When did you see it? 14 I don't recall. 15 Q. Was it after March 15, 1991? 16 It would be my recollection it probably came with the bundle of materials and the 17 confidentiality forms that I had to sign. 18 19 Q. Did you review the letter at that time? 20 I would have read it, yes. 21 Q. Is it an accurate reflection of your 22 opinions in this case? 23 It doesn't specify my opinions, it Α. 24 specifies the topics on which I may be expected to

25

testify.

- Q. And the paragraph that I pointed out to you in the expert report uses the terms "understanding" and "awareness." In that context, is there a difference, in your opinion, between the meaning of those two terms?
- A. Yes, there would be in the way I would use those words.
 - Q. And what is the difference?
- A. I would use the term "understanding" to reflect a deeper level of knowledge than awareness.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, looking at Exhibit 2 again, paragraph 1E asks you to produce all documents and materials relating to the pertinent literature, continuing research, trade publications and information reasonably relied upon by members of your profession. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And again, do you have materials responsive to this request that you have not produced to defendants?

MS. WALTERS: Just so you are aware, we have an agreement that he was not required nor did this intend to require him to produce any pertinent literature that wasn't immediately accessible to

limitation.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 | him or in his possession, correct?
- MR. ALLINDER: That is correct, without
- 5 MS. WALTERS: I think your question 6 could be misleading to him.
- So that I can be sure that I'm clearly 7 understood, I have produced documents that do 8 provide my notes taken from various pertinent 9 literature and I have a general knowledge of the 10 ongoing literature and consumer behavior 11 marketing. I did not attempt to produce back 12 volumes of all journals or all those sources I cite 13 in my working papers but I provide all of the 14 references to the cigarette related work that I 15 have taken note of. 16
 - Q. What is the pertinent literature that you refer to?
 - A. It's both the materials that are noted that are specific to the topic of cigarette advertising and then it's the broader literature of marketing strategy and consumer behavior as reflected in the textbooks and journals of the field.
 - Q. And the trade publications you refer

Pollay - direct to, what are those?

- A. Things like Advertising Age, Canada's equivalent, Marketing Magazine, and an older journal called Printers' Ink.
- Q. So the trade we are referring to or you are referring to here is advertising and marketing. Is that correct?
- A. That's correct; consumer behavior, advertising marketing and consumer behavior. The journals make sometimes subtle distinctions over time.
- Q. Are you doing any continuing research that relates to your opinions in this case that you have not previously identified to defendants?
- A. No. Cigarettes through the Advertising Age is an ongoing effort and should at a later date the preemption issue be clarified so that that's relevant, I may at that time have additional information.
- Q. And I believe you told us earlier that the period that you are continuing to work on for cigarettes through the Advertising Age is the period after 1964?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. What periods of time are you currently working on, is it '64 through the present?
- A. We are working toward that. We are working still in the late sixties.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, paragraph 1F asks you to produce all documents and materials relating to Federal Trade Commission reports regarding cigarette advertising, press releases, cigarette advertising, industry advertising, market research studies, marketing reports, newspaper articles, public relations proposals, FTC actions against cigarette manufacturers, and other documents produced by Philip Morris, Lorillard, Liggett, R.J. Reynolds, The Tobacco Institute, and the Council for Tobacco Research. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have materials responsive to this request that you have not produced to defendants?
- A. Not to my knowledge. I produced everything.
- Q. The Federal Trade Commission reports that you refer to or which is referred to in Mrs. Walters' March 15th letter, are those all referenced in your publications, your expert

- reports that were enclosed and produced to defendants?
 - A. I don't know whether they all would be. There are several that are and they are chronological notes. I do remember that there were others I saw in conjunction with the Cipollone proceedings. I believe they are referenced in the chronological notes.
 - Q. Any that were not referenced, would they have been produced to defendants in Cipollone?
 - A. I believe so. I withheld nothing.
 - Q. Paragraph two of the production request --
 - MS. WALTERS: Also that's part of the public literature, Mr. Allinder.
 - Q. Part two of the production request asks for all documents and materials relating to your anticipated expert testimony at trial on your work in this case received from or provided to counsel for the plaintiff or any other person or anyone acting on behalf of counsel or other expert witnesses in this action, including but not limited to the following material referred to in your report: "Various transcripts of the relatives and

. .

unreliable, essentially, in its entirety and

Saul Schiffman?

- A. No, I have not.
- Q. Did you review materials in preparation for your deposition today?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Can you tell me, please, what materials you reviewed?
- A. I reviewed my own writings and reviewed the depositions, reviewed the various documents related to Kents and True, especially, all of those documents I had available to me.
 - Q. When did you conduct this review?
- A. Some of the material was reviewed earlier in the summer and to the best of my ability, in the available time I reviewed them again, things like the depositions, during the past week.
- Q. Have you reviewed any materials in preparation for your deposition that you have not produced to defendants?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, paragraph three of the production request asks you to produce copies of transcripts of all sworn testimony given by you and copies of all reports prepared by you in any state

1 | Pollay - direct

or federal court proceeding or hearing before any state or federal legislative body, including all deposition and trial testimony and all affidavits and sworn statements provided, however, that the Cipollone deposition and trial testimony need only be listed if they do not contain notations or highlights.

Also, as Mrs. Walters has indicated, Dr. Pollay, by agreement between counsel, this request is limited to those materials that are within your possession or readily available to you. Do you understand that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have materials not produced to defendants that are responsive to this request?
- A. No, I do not, but what is missing is my testimony in the Horton case, which I believe I will receive shortly, but was very slow in being produced, and as I mentioned before, my expert opinion in the RJR-MacDonald against Attorney General case was in my publications packages.
- Q. Are you aware that Judge Chabot issued an opinion in the Canadian litigation?
 - A. Yes, I am.

No, I do not.

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

21

22

23

24

- Q. And paragraph five asks for a publication, "Advertising practices of the American Tobacco Company" published in September 1930 in the National Better Business Bureau. I take it since you have not produced a copy of that, that you do not have one available. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, is \$2,000 per day your usual and customary rate?
 - A. Yes, for depositions and trial work, yes.
- Q. And do you have a different rate for other work that you do in conjunction with litigation?
 - A. On occasion, I have, yes.
- Q. What is the rate that you currently charge for litigation work other than deposition and trial testimony?
 - A. I am now charging the \$2,000 rate.
- Q. For everything?
 - A. Yes. That's the general rate.
 - Q. And does that equate to \$250 an hour?
 - A. Yes, when the days are limited to eight hours, yes.
 - Q. If you work less than eight hours a

with Mrs. Walters relating to the preparation of

24

```
Pollay - direct
1
    Exhibit 3, the March 15th letter?
                No, I did not.
          Α.
3
                 MS. WALTERS: Read back that question,
     please.
5
                 (Whereupon the record was read.)
6
                 Have you met with Mrs. Walters to
          Q.
7
     discuss this case since that first telephone call?
8
                 Only yesterday.
                 Have you had conversations with her in
10
          Q.
     the interim concerning this case?
11
                 I do not believe any substantive
12
     conversations have taken place. I think messages
13
     were received to advise me that there were
14
     additional documents in transit.
15
                 How many hours have you spent working
          Q.
16
     on this case so far outside of the deposition time
17
     today?
18
                 I'm not sure but it's been several days
19
     of work.
20
                 Have you submitted any statements yet
21
          Q.
22
     regarding your fees in this case?
                 No, I have not.
23
                 Have you been paid anything for your
24
          Q.
```

work in this case?

listed as an expert witness in any other cigarette

Did you prepare and send statements

Q.

25

witness's preference.

MR. ALLINDER: Let's do that.

- Dr. Pollay, if you would, please, look Q. at Exhibit 3, which was the March 15th letter. There are, as I understand it, a number of areas of testimony indicated in this letter that are similar to areas of your testimony for the Cipollone case. Is that correct?
 - That's correct.
- I'm going to try to go through with you and identify what those are, if we can. The three expert reports that are enclosed, "Themes and tactics," "Chronological notes," "Propaganda, puffing," were also expert reports in the Cipollone case. Is that correct?
- The propaganda and puffing was not Α. available at the time of the first Cipollone trial but was produced and discussed at the last production deposition.
- And on page two, the second complete paragraph, the numerous marketing and consumer research techniques available to cigarette manufacturers, that was also a topic of your expert opinion in Cipollone. Is that correct?

Pollay - direct

A. Yes.

Q. As was in the following paragraph, the extent and magnitude of cigarette advertising?

A. Yes.

Q. And in the following paragraph, changes in cigarette themes that corresponded to governmental action, legislation, regulation, governmental reports and news stories pertinent to cigarette smoking and health as well as marketing and advertising decisions made by cigarette firms, was that also a topic of your expert opinion in Cipollone?

A. Yes.

Q. The following paragraph, nature of the information environment created by cigarette advertising, was that also a topic of your expert opinion in Cipollone?

A. I believe so.

Q. And on the following page, the first complete paragraph, the nature of cigarette advertising from the late 1930's to the early 1980's, was that also a topic of your expert opinion in Cipollone?

A. Yes.

Pollay - direct

- Q. And in the next to the last paragraph, the general effects of cigarette advertising on consumers generally, which appears in the next to last line, that was also a topic of your expert opinion in the Cipollone. Is that correct?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. Do you adopt in this case your Cipollone testimony and opinions regarding these subjects?
- A. Yes. I mean if you mean do I now repudiate anything I testified to then, I do not.
- Q. Are your opinions regarding any of these subjects different, now different from the opinions you expressed in Cipollone?
- A. I can't recall, of course, the full detail, all the opinions expressed in Cipollone.

 In general, the opinions are similar. They may be more refined as I have gained additional sophistication through additional exposure.
- Q. Do you know which of your opinions may have changed as a result of the refinement process?
- A. I know my bottom line opinion about the advisability of an ad ban has changed.
 - Q. And how has that changed?

joined the Navy and left a family home and was on a military base.

- Q. Perhaps you misunderstood me. The question is what were the circumstances of his initiation of the use of cigarettes? You had indicated that he had started sampling cigarettes in 1942.
- A. Your concern is with the sampling behavior, not with his regular use?
- Q. You had indicated that his initiation was in 1942. Did I misunderstand you?
- A. I think I stated it that he had sampled beginning in 1942 and becomes a regular smoker, by all estimates, when he joins the Navy.
- Q. What were the brands he smoked and the dates of his use of those brands?

MS. WALTERS: His whole life?

MR. ALLINDER: Yes, as it appears in this expert report.

A. He smoked Chesterfields as his first regular brand from 1945, continued to smoke that as his regular brand until he switches to Kent and my opinion is that the best estimate for his switch to Kent is about 1958. He smokes that brand until the

1	Pollay - direct
2	mid-sixties, I believe 1966, when he switches again
3	to True, and then later on, in the seventies until
4	his death, he picks up some Vantage and then Merit,
5	as well.
6	Q. What do you mean when you say, "picks
7	up"?
8	A. He doesn't totally abandon his smoking
9	of True; that is, he begins to smoke multiple
10	brands, substituting them on different occasions.
11	Q. Is how much Peter Rossi smoked at any
1 2	particular time, the quantity, important or
13	relevant to your opinions in this case?
14	A. Not the variation of that. I know him
15	to be what the industry would class as a heavy
16	smoker essentially throughout his smoking history.
17	Q. Is the fact that you consider him to be
18	a heavy smoker important to your opinions in this
19	case?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. In what way?
22	A. Because it manifests his dependence
23	upon nicotine.
24	Q. Do you have an opinion in this case
2 6	waganding whether Peter Rossi was dependent on

1	Pollay - direct
2	nicotine?
3	A. Yes, I do.
4	Q. Did the amount that Peter Rossi smoked
5	change over time?
6	A. I believe it did increase as he shifted
7	to products that delivered less tar and nicotine,
8	that the number of cigarettes he smoked would from
9	time to time increase.
10	Q. When was it that he switched to the
11	lower tar and nicotine cigarettes?
1 2	A. He switches from Chesterfields to Kent
13	in 1958, by my estimate, and to True in 1966.
1 4	Q. Did his increased consumption
15	correspond to a switch in brand, in your opinion?
16	A. Yes, I believe so.
17	Q. And how much, how many cigarettes was
18	he smoking per day in 1957, do you know?
19	A. I don't recall exactly but I believe
20	the estimates were two or more packs a day.
2 1	Q. And in 1958, after he switched to Kent,
2 2	how many cigarettes was he smoking per day?
23	A. I believe he was smoking about the same
2 4	amount but I don't recall specifically.
2.5	o. Did his daily consumption of cigarettes

institutional sources, that is, the news,

Pollay - direct
newspapers and broadcast media as opposed to the
interpersonal sources, the things that would be
relayed by word of mouth.

- Q. Does an individual's information environment include the information he or she would receive from interpersonal sources?
- A. Yes, although typically, that's an echo of what has been acquired through the institutional sources.
- Q. Are there different information environments for different subjects?
- A. The environment is generally the same but different individuals might select and attend to different media, that is, watch, have different viewing patterns of watching television or radio.
- Q. My question is are there information environments regarding different subjects; for example, is there an information environment on cigarette smoking and health and is there an information environment on safety in the New York City subways and is there an information environment on any other subject?
- A. It's probably reasonable to describe it that way. It could also be ascribed there is one

	information environment, elements of which are
3	relevant to, say, smoking and health and other
,	elements of which are relevant to, say, subway

safety and transportation issues.

Pollay - direct

- Q. When you use the term "information environment," are you talking about the information environment relating to cigarette smoking and health?
- A. Yes, and the larger context, too, of what else is going on in the news in addition to that.
- Q. The information or the portion of the information environment that relates to smoking and health is comprised of which sources of information?
- A. It's comprised -- as I defined, it's comprised primarily of the institutional sources of information, that is, that which is available through the broadcast and print media and then there would be supplemental forms of advertising, for example, things like billboards and retail point of sale signage and less formal media.
- Q. Does the information environment regarding smoking and health include information

Yes.

Α.

- Q. Which components or parts of the information environment do you have opinions about for this case?
- A. I have opinions about the advertising, the press coverage of the smoking and health issue, the general news coverage, that is, the context in which all of these reports and advertising were taking place, that is, the historical situation, setting.
- Q. Are there any parts of the information environment regarding cigarette smoking and health that you do not have opinions about?
- A. There no doubt may be -- it's conceivable that there are things that he was exposed to that I'm not aware of that of course, it's impossible for me to have opinions about. But I have opinions about what was generally available to the general public.
- Q. Do you have opinions about the information that was available to Peter Rossi from interpersonal sources?
- A. Only as would be reflected through the depositions.
 - Q. Do you have opinions in this case

Pollay - direct regarding the credibil

regarding the credibility of the sources of information within the information environment?

A. Yes.

- Q. Does an assessment of the information environment include or exclude consideration of a person's education, intelligence and ability to process information?
- A. Typically, it would exclude it. That is, the environment would be described in general terms and then how an individual might make sense out of that environment might then depend upon the individual characteristics but the environment might well be conceived of as the same for all members of the public.
- Q. Would two people who were exposed to the same information then have the same information environment?
 - A. The environment would be the same.
- Q. Even if the two people differed in terms of age or education or ethnic background or in any other way?
- A. That's correct, the environment in which they are functioning would be the same.
 - Q. On page three of the March 15th letter,

Pollay - direct

- A. As I conceive of the environment, it's generally the same and then how individuals perceive and attend to it may differ depending upon their individual habits. But as normally conceived, the environment is something that is shared, just like the physical environment is something we all share.
- Q. Are different individuals exposed to different sources of information?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are individuals exposed to different information?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Individuals who are exposed to different sources of information and different information, do they have different information environments?
- A. They are attending to different elements in the larger environment. So I mean we do not all read all newspapers. Our particular habits will influence what it is we are exposed to.
- Q. Is it your opinion that individuals do not have unique information environments?
- 25 A. Again, the way I use the term

"environment" is to refer to the general condition that all people are generally -- can be assumed to be exposed to and then that can be refined with additional knowledge about the specific behaviors of individuals.

- Q. Does the information environment ever change?
- A. The information environment evolves over time, yes, sometimes very rapidly. News can break quickly.
- Q. How do you determine what the information environment is for a particular individual at a particular time?
- a. Again, you are trying to force me to use the term "information environment" in a way I don't think is valid. One typically determines the information environment that exists for the general public and then that can be refined with additional information about what an individual is likely to have seen or not seen.
- Q. How do you determine what the information environment is for a particular time?
- A. By review of the advertising and news of that era.

that?

Q.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

A. I doubt it. That's referring to how informative cigarette advertising is or is not at

Yes.

I think we probably covered the major dimensions.

Pollay - direct

- If you include the functions of public relations, of course, there may be stories that are carried by the news media on an unpaid basis that serve the interests of the advertiser.
- Q. Do you include public relations as an aspect of advertising?
- A. Yes, I consider them both component parts of the promotional mix.
- Q. How does public relations and advertising influence the nature of the news media?
- A. I think this is now redundant with areas we covered but it would include the publishing of stories on an unpaid basis that are provided by the public relations firms; the treatment of stories in light of potential advertising revenues that may be placed in jeopardy; advertisers will also influence entertainment content as well as news content.
- Q. How does advertising influence the nature of interpersonal sources of information within the information environment?
- A. Advertising shapes people's perceptions and attitudes and judgments about, say in this case, smoking and cigarettes and so that when

```
1
    Pollay - direct
    people come to communicate with one another about
2
     that topic, that's influenced by the advertising
3
     they have all seen.
                 Does advertising shape or echo our way
          Q.
     of life?
6
                 Both.
7
          A.
                 At different times or at the same time?
8
                 At the same time. It's a selective
9
     reinforcer of certain behaviors and attitudes.
10
                 MR. ALLINDER: Is this a convenient
11
     time for a lunch break?
12
                 MS. WALTERS:
                               Doctor?
13
                               We still have another 20
                 THE WITNESS:
14
15
     minutes or so we can go.
                 MR. ALLINDER: You want to go up until
16
     2 o'clock?
17
                               We might as well.
18
                 THE WITNESS:
                               A quarter of?
19
                 MS. WALTERS:
                 MR. ALLINDER: I'm sorry?
20
                 MS. WALTERS: We can't get lunch here
21
22
     after two.
                 MR. CRIST: I'm sorry, what is that?
23
24
                 MS. WALTERS: A quarter of two.
                 MR. CRIST: For some of us that get up
25
```

Pollay - direct 1 before 7 o'clock --2 MS. WALTERS: There is an apple here if 3 anyone wants to dare. MR. CRIST: It has an exhibit tag. Why 5 don't we break in 15 minutes, if that's okay. 6 MR. ALLINDER: Break in 15 minutes? 7 MR. CRIST: Yes, that's fine. 8 MR. ALLINDER: That's fine. 9 10 BY MR. ALLINDER: 11 What was Peter Rossi's information 12 environment during the years 1942 to 1944? 13 '42 to '44, he was living as a teenager 14 in Brooklyn and would have been exposed to 15 newspapers like the Daily News, radio programs, the 16 local radio stations, primary institutional 17 sources. 18 Which newspapers and magazines was he Q. 19 reading at this time? 20 It's my recollection that he was 21 reading the Daily News. 22 How often did he read it? 23 Q. I don't recall. A newspaper like that, 24 of course, is widely distributed on the streets so

. :

Pollay - direct

he would see headlines and often in those days

would have heard headlines shouted by news

vendors. He would also have been exposed, of

course, to all the other things on the street, that

is, the various advertising visible.

- Q. Do you know what radio programs he listened to?
- A. No, I do not have detailed information on that but I know him to be a sports fan so it's likely he listened to ball games. The Dodgers in those days were still in Brooklyn so it's likely that he listened to the Brooklyn Dodger broadcasts.
- Q. Do you know during the years 1942 to 1944, how Peter Rossi read a newspaper? Did he read it from cover to cover, for example, or do you think that he glanced through it?
- A. I don't know for certain but my best guess, knowing other adolescent newspaper reading behavior, is that he would glance through it.
- Q. Were there any other sources of information to Peter Rossi regarding cigarette smoking and health in 1942 to 1944 that you have not identified?
 - A. Those are the primary institutional

- Pollay direct
 sources that I can think of.
- Q. Were there any other sources, institutional or not, of information regarding smoking and health to Peter Rossi in 1942 to 1944?
- A. Certainly with regard to smoking, there would be some interpersonal influences, friends and classmates, schoolmates and the like.
- Q. What information was Peter Rossi receiving from interpersonal sources regarding smoking and health in 1942 to 1944?
- A. I suppose that the teenagers of the day, having seen all of the advertising glamorizing the product, would have collectively judged smoking to be an attractive behavior of the modern American and would have been applauding of that in the sense of seeing that as a passage to adultness.
- Q. Is there any other information regarding smoking and health that he would have been receiving from interpersonal sources during this time, during these years?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. What information regarding cigarette smoking and health was Peter Rossi receiving in the years 1944 to 1944 from institutional sources?

- Q. Dr. Pollay, just to make sure that I understand, and hopefully to ease the communication in the questioning, are there two major components of an information environment, as the way you used these terms, interpersonal and institutional?
- A. No, the way I used the term, the institutional framework, that is, the advertising, news sources and those things that are public and distributed at large constitute the primary information environment. One could talk about the interpersonal communications as sort of a secondary information environment and as normally conceived, it tends to echo and emphasize those things learned from the primary information environment.
- Q. But as you used these terms, all sources of information fit either within

around in the general environment.

Pollay - direct

- Q. What information do you think that he would have been aware of?
- A. He might have heard some reference to cigarettes as being cancer sticks or coffin nails, as I certainly did when I was a child in roughly the same era, but that was generally dismissed as old wives' tales or an old-fashioned idea because it was not reinforced by any authority.
 - Q. How old were you, Dr. Pollay, in 1942?
 - A. I was two years old in 1942.
- Q. Was the message that Peter Rossi was receiving from the information environment regarding cigarette smoking and health during the years 1942 to 1944 different in any respect from his awareness regarding cigarette smoking and health during the same time period?

MS. WALTERS: Could you read that question back, please.

(Whereupon the record was read.)

A. It's my judgment that his awareness at that time would have been shaped predominantly by his exposure to advertising, that he would have unlikely have had much supplemental information to

Pollay - direct

- Q. What was the information environment regarding cigarette smoking and health in 1942 to 1944?
- A. If you dug deeply enough, there were some studies being reported in fairly obscure medical journals that were suggesting already the linkage to cancer.
- Q. In your opinion, was Peter Rossi's awareness of a health risk of smoking any different than the awareness of the general public, again, during the same time period?
- A. No, I think his awareness in that time period would have been quite similar to that of the general public. He was, after all, a teenager and busy with many things in his life.
- Q. What was the message that advertising was contributing to the information environment during the years 1942 to 1944 regarding the health risks of smoking?
- A. There were, judging by the Federal

 Trade Commission's actions and judgments, there

 were a lot of campaigns that were suggesting that

 smoking was a healthy thing to do; there were other

: :

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Was the information environment Q. regarding smoking and health in 1942 to 1944 any different than the information concerning smoking and health that was available from advertising during the same time period?
- Yes. As I mentioned, if you dug deeply enough, there were some obscure medical reports in the information environment that were suggesting that cigarettes were cancer causing but in terms of what the public was likely to have been confronted with and generally aware of, it would have been primarily advertising that shaped their opinions and attitudes.
- Do you know what Peter Rossi believed Q. about the health risk of smoking during the years 1942 to 1944?
- I assume him to believe that this was a glamorous, an adult, an American thing to participate in and that it would not constitute a major health risk for him.
 - You used the term "assume" in your Q.

Pollay - direct 1 answer. Does that mean that you do not have an 2 opinion in this case regarding what Peter Rossi believed about the health risk of smoking during the years 1942 to 1944? 5 No, I think I have expressed my 6 Α. 7 opinion. Is it an assumption or is that your 8 Q. opinion? I think it more likely than not that he 10 would have perceived cigarettes in the way I 11 described. 12 MS. WALTERS: Mr. Allinder, you advised 13 the witness early on that all of the opinions that 14 he has given in this case and in this testimony 15 today are to a reasonable degree of probability. 16 So I assume the witness has already been instructed 17 in that regard. 18 MR. ALLINDER: I also asked him that if 19 he was going to deviate from that standard at any 20 time, please so indicate. That's why I asked him 21 to clarify the use of the term "assumed" in that 22 last answer. 23

Do you have an opinion about whether

Peter Rossi was adequately informed about the

50780 4787

24

Pollay - direct 1 health risk of smoking during the years 1942 to 2 1944? 3 Α. Yes, I do. And what is your opinion? Q. 5 That he was not adequately informed. 6 Α. 7 What is the basis of your opinion? Q. My judgment about the character of the 8 9 advertising in those days and the health assurances that they provided. 10 Do you know what the state of 11 12 scientific and medical knowledge regarding health 13 risk of smoking was during the years 1942 to 1944?

To some extent, yes.

What was that?

Α.

Q.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- There were already studies published in the medical journals going back to at least 1938 and perhaps even preceding that that indicated that there was a serious medical problem.
- Do you have expert opinions in this case regarding the state of the art?
- A. No, I do not judge the state of the art of medical knowledge.
- 24 Do you rely upon opinions of others 25 regarding the state of the art?

- A. No, I judge the cigarette advertising to be offering health reassurances, which we can identify in retrospect as being unwarranted.
- Q. What information would Peter Rossi have had to have known during the years 1942 to 1944 to have been adequately informed about the health risk of smoking?
- A. Whatever information there might have been in the medical community about the risks and to be spared the unwarranted reassurances.
- Q. What information was known in the medical community during the years 1942 to 1944 about the health risk of smoking?

MS. WALTERS: This witness is not being offered as a state of the art expert. He only said he has a general background, he doesn't have expertise as a state of the art expert on medical risk. So I don't think he can answer that question.

- Q. Dr. Pollay, you have an opinion in this case regarding whether or not Peter Rossi was adequately informed of the health risk of smoking.

 Is that correct?
- A. Yes.

Pollay - direct

- Q. And as a basis of your opinion, to be adequately informed, Peter Rossi would have had to have known what was known in the medical community at the same time. Is that correct?
- A. That's correct. He should know at least the bottom line, that is, the general conclusions.
- Q. And is it your opinion that Peter Rossi did not know what was known in the medical community at that time?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And what was known in the medical community that Peter Rossi didn't know during the years 1942 to 1944?

MS. WALTERS: I'm going to let the witness answer. I think he has already answered in terms of his general knowledge and his general background about what was known. Again, he is not a state of the art expert. We have other experts who have analyzed in detail what was known at specific points in time and what should have been known by the tobacco industry and what should have been conveyed in detail. But given those parameters, the fact that he is not a state of the

1	Pollay - direct
2	art expert and understanding there is some overlap,
3	he can answer generally. I think he already has.
4	Is there anything you can add to your earlier
5	answer?
6	THE WITNESS: No. Just the general
7	point is that the public might have known but were
8	not apprised of the fact that there were medical
9	studies in the medical journals implicating
10	cigarettes with some serious medical risks,
1 1	including cancer.
1 2	Q. Any other medical risks, to your
13	knowledge?
1 4	A. I'm sure there were others but that's
15	the one that sticks out in my mind.
16	MR. ALLINDER: Doctor, it is a quarter
17	till two. At your request, I think this is a good
18	time to adjourn for a lunch break.
19	(Whereupon the luncheon recess was
20	taken.)
2 1	
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	
25	

•

of questions this morning but that it was perceived

- Pollay direct

 as a glamorous and adult thing to do and during the

 war years, it was also positioned as a patriotic

 activity, something that the soldiers of the nation

 were engaged in who were somewhat older than he

 was.
 - Q. Does the information environment affect all people in the same way?
- A. Again, I think this is repetitive with the line of questions this morning. The environment is generally the same for all people but different individual circumstances might change the way they attend to the information environment.
- Q. Did people who had the same information environment as Peter Rossi had from 1942 to 1944 choose not to begin smoking?
 - A. Did some people?
- Q. Did any people who had the same information environment as Peter Rossi had in 1942 to 1944 choose not to begin smoking?
- A. It's certainly a matter of fact that some people exposed to that environment did not take up the habit of smoking.
- Q. Do you have an opinion about why Peter Rossi began smoking?

I would say they were quite credible.

Α.

I don't understand it in the context

study"?

Α.

24

1	Pollay - direct
2	you used it.
3	Q. Was Peter Rossi more or less likely
4	than the average consumer to believe claims made by
5	advertisers?
6	A. I think he is just as likely as the
7	average consumer to believe claims of advertisers.
8	Q. What is the basis of your opinion?
9	A. I think the converse is the more
10	appropriate query, that is, is there any basis for
11	believing otherwise.
12	Q. Does the average consumer believe
13	advertising claims?
14	MS. WALTERS: Now or in 1942?
15	MR. ALLINDER: Still in 1942 to 1944.
16	A. Yes, the average consumer believes that
17	the processes of regulation are such to keep
18	dishonest advertising claims from being published.
19	Q. During the years 1942 to 1944, was the
20	average person aware of the advertiser's intent?
21	A. They would certainly be aware of the
22	distinction between advertising and
23	nonadvertising. Advertising had commercial intent.
24	Q. What does the concept advertising

involvement advertisement and a low involvement

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

advertisement?

- A. I'm not sure. Typically, the way involvement is used, it's to describe certain products and people's interest in the products. So it typically defines the relationship between an individual and their interest in the product category.
- Q. Do people in general pay or tend to pay more or less attention to high involvement advertisements as opposed to low involvement advertisements?
- A. Again, I don't know what you mean by that. People who are highly involved in a product category are likely to pay more attention to ads for those products than people who are less involved, who have a low involvement in the product category.
- Q. Have you used the term "high involvement advertising" in your writings?
- A. I may have, in which case I would have been referring to ads designed to appeal to consumers who are highly involved.
- Q. And have you also used the term "low involvement advertising" in your writings?
 - A. I may have. I don't recall that

Pollay - direct 1 specifically but I may have. 2 Does advertising make people buy 3 products that they don't want? They don't want? Advertising in many 5 Α. cases calls products to people's attention. 6 often ultimately leads to their buying products 7 they didn't even know about before the advertising. 8 Do you agree that there is no guarantee 9 that advertising will work for any particular 10 product? 11 12 Α. Yes. Do you also agree that a product can be 13 advertised extensively and still fail in the 14 15 market? Α. Yes. 16 Do you agree that there are products 17 that have been very successful in the market that 18 have not been advertised at all? 19 No, I strain to think of examples of 20 Α. that. 21 Is cigarette advertising different from 22 advertising for other commercial products -- other 23 consumer products? Excuse me. 24

50780 4799

Α.

25

It functions in a different context,

1	Pollay - direct
2	yes.
3	Q. How does it function in a different
4	context?
5	A. Because of the health aspects of
6	smoking.
7	Q. Is it your opinion that cigarette
8	advertising is inherently false?
9	A. Yes, it's my opinion that much of it is
10	inherently false and misleading.
l 1	Q. Dr. Pollay, what was Peter Rossi's
1 2	information environment regarding smoking and
13	health during the years 1945 and 1946?
14	A. In those years, he was in the military
15	so he would have been in a more restricted
16	information environment and would have seen
17	primarily those things available advertising
18	available in the PX, perhaps the occasional
9	magazine and newspaper, but he would have been
20	preoccupied with his military responsibilities.
2 1	Q. What magazines and newspapers was Peter
2 2	Rossi reading during the years 1945 and 1946?
23	A. I do not know that specifically.
2 4	Q. Was there anything else about Peter

Rossi's information environment in 1945 and 1946

1	Pollay - direct
2	that was different from his information environment
3	in the years 1942 to 1944?
4	A. If you mean in addition to his being in
5	a restricted military circumstance, no.
6	Q. The information that he had regarding
7	the health risk of smoking in '45 and '46 were the
8	same then as what he had in '42 to '44?
9	A. I believe so. If you have information
10	to the contrary, I would be willing to look at it.
11	Q. What was the impact of the information
12	environment on Peter Rossi's smoking behavior
13	during the years 1945 to 1946?
14	A. To reinforce his behavioral pattern of
15	smoking. By that time, he had begun to smoke on a
16	regular basis.
17	Q. How did the information environment
18	reinforce his behavior in regard to smoking?
19	A. By showing him images that position the
20	behavior as glamorous and adult and by reassuring
21	him of the healthfulness of the behavior.
2 2	Q. Was he receiving any information during
23	the years 1945 to 1946 that there were health risks
24	associated with smoking?

Not that I know of.

Pol	lay	-	di	r	eс	t
-----	-----	---	----	---	----	---

- Q. Is it your opinion that Peter Rossi's information environment during the years 1945 to 1946 was no different from the information environment for the general population?
- A. I have already answered that. He was in the military during those years so may have been more isolated than the average citizen.
- Q. Would there have been information available to the general population that he would not have received?
- A. Not that I know of but there might have been.
- Q. Do you know what Peter Rossi believed about the health risk of smoking during the years 1945 to 1946?
- A. I have no information that leads me to believe that he would have anything but assurance the product was reasonably safe.
- Q. What was Peter Rossi's information environment in the years 1947 to 1949 about the health risk of smoking?
- A. Generally a continuation of the preceding. There were some variation in the advertising campaigns being run but they would have

1	Pollay - direct
2	been somewhat similar in their general themes.
3	Q. What were the variation in the
4	advertising campaigns during those years?
5	A. There was one prominent campaign that
6	suggested that many doctors smoked.
7	Q. Which campaign was that?
8	A. That was the Camel campaign.
9	Q. Were there any other differences in
10	Peter Rossi's information environment regarding
11	cigarette smoking and health during the years 1947
1 2	to 1949?
13	A. Not that I know of.
14	Q. Do you know what newspapers and
15	magazines he was reading during these years?
16	A. No, but he was back in the metropolitan
17	New York area so I assume he was back with an
18	opportunity for exposure to the Daily News again.
19	Q. Was there information contained in the
20	Daily News about the health aspects of smoking?
21	A. Not that I know of but it would have
2 2	been cigarette advertising.
23	Q. What was Peter Rossi's awareness during
2 4	the years 1947 to 1949 of the health risk
2 5	associated with smoking?

•

Pollay - direct 1 same objection I gave before. This is not a state 2 of the art expert, he has general awareness and 3 understanding. He is not being offered to give the 5 state of the art on specifically what medical literature existed and what the cigarette industry 6 knew or should have known or did or should not have 7 done during that period. 8 MR. CRIST: I'm sorry, could I have her 9 10 comment read back. I didn't hear it. 11 (Whereupon the record was read.) What information did Peter Rossi have 12 Q. during the years 1947 to 1949 regarding the health 13 14 risks of smoking? 15 16 17 Q.

By all accounts, he had very little information about the health risks of smoking.

- Do you know what information he had?
- Again, if you could provide me information to the contrary, I would be glad to look at it. But as far as I know, he had no particular information about the health risks of smoking.
- Q. Is there a distinction between informational advertisements and transformational advertisements?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What is the distinction between informational and transformational advertisements?
- A. The simplest way to understand it is that informational advertising seeks to change attitudes and perceptions by presentation of data and facts, argument, whereas transformational advertising seeks to influence attitudes and perceptions by playing on emotions and by dramatizing certain events, showing life-styles and things like that.
- Q. What was Peter Rossi's information environment regarding the health risk of smoking during the years 1949 to 1951?
- A. His information environment would have been based primarily on the advertising he saw.
- Q. And what was the information concerning the health risk of smoking that he was receiving from advertising?
- A. Almost nil. There would be just the opposite, there would be reassurances, if anything, about a lack of health risk, not information about the presence of health risk.

1 2

- Q. Was he receiving information concerning the health risk of smoking during the years 1949 to 1951 from any other source?
 - A. Not that I know of.
- Q. What was Peter Rossi doing in 1949 to 1951? Where was he living and what was he doing?
- A. My recollection is that he was in the New York City area and I believe he was working for Schrafft's.
- Q. Do you know what newspapers and magazines Peter Rossi was reading during the years 1949 to 1951?
- A. I don't recall specifically for those individual years.
- Q. During the years 1949 to 1951, was his awareness regarding the health risk of smoking different from what you have described for the previous years?
 - A. I have no reason to believe that it was.
- Q. Do you have an opinion in this case about the cause of the underperception of the health risk of smoking among members of the general population?

MS. WALTERS: In what years?

MR. ALLINDER: 1949 to 1951.

A. Yes, I do.

Pollay - direct

- Q. And what is the cause?
- A. The primary cause would be advertising.
- Q. Are there any other causes?
- A. As we noted earlier this morning, there are secondary effects of advertising that perhaps the news media wasn't doing the job it might have in retelling the story as they were appearing in the scientific community and getting that information out to the general public.
 - Q. Were there any other secondary reasons?
- A. Those are the primary ones, advertising and secondary effects.
- Q. Were there articles concerning the health risk of smoking appearing in newspapers and magazines during the years 1949 to 1951?
- A. I believe there were very few but I suspect there were probably some in relatively obscure locations.
- Q. Have you conducted any formal or informal study of smokers' understanding and awareness of health concerns related to cigarette use which you rely on for your opinions in this

He might well have begun to hear

Α.

| Pollay - direct

stories about cigarettes and health. There were some allegations published in the Reader's Digest in December of 1952 which he did not read but which were picked up in some other places alleging a cancer problem, cigarettes being described as causing cancer by the carton.

- Q. What was the basis of your opinion that Peter Rossi did not read that article?
- A. Because he was not a reader of Reader's Digest. I have seen no evidence that he read Reader's Digest or saw that article specifically.
- Q. Did the report appearing or article appearing in the Reader's Digest in 1952 receive any notoriety in the other news media?
 - A. Some, yes.
- Q. Was Peter Rossi aware of the Reader's
 Digest article from other sources or other methods
 other than reading it?
- A. I don't know but I would say that there was enough discussion that chances are great that he might have been aware that there had been such an article or at least whether Reader's Digest in specific was named, that the allegations were being made. The bulk of the stories that I have seen

Pollay - direct

from that period were from the industry saying that this was all premature and unwarranted allegations.

- Q. Did Peter Rossi receive that information?
- A. He would have -- if he had seen anything, he would have seen the types of headlines that were in things like the New York Herald Tribune or the New York Times and Daily News and such, more commonly refutations than support of the allegations.
- Q. What newspapers and magazines was he reading during the years 1951 to 1955?
- A. I don't recall the specific dates but throughout his mature years, he is reported to have been reading the New York Times and Wall Street Journal on occasion and the Daily News.
- Q. Were there articles in these publications regarding the health risk of smoking other than the reputations you have mentioned earlier?
 - A. Refutations.
 - Q. Yes, that's what I meant to say.
- A. Not that I recall. Most of the stories

 I have seen, there may have been some stories that

| Pollay - direct

- presented some balance but they would always have
 included the refutation.
 - Q. How many of these stories appeared in the New York Times during the years 1951 to 1955, do you know?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Do you recall them as being frequent or infrequent?
 - A. Frequency is a relative matter. They would have been relatively infrequent compared to the frequency of the advertising exposures, for example, and relatively infrequent compared to the frequency of sports news, for another example, but there may have been numerous stories over the several years you mentioned.
 - Q. Was Peter Rossi receiving any other information regarding the health risk associated with smoking during the years 1951 to 1955?
 - A. Yes, I would say so in that those years were the years in which the filter products were first brought into prominence and the presentation of filter products implies a rationale for that type of product, that is, there has to be some reason for filter products so that, in a sense, is

Pollay - direct 1 2 solving a health problem. 3 Q. Is it your opinion that Peter Rossi read these advertisements? Yes, that he, like all members of the 5 society, would have been exposed to this kind of 7 advertising. 8 Ο. Did he receive a message from this advertising? 9 10 Yes. Α. 11 And what was the message? Q. 12 That certain manufacturers were offering health protection because of the filter 13 14 products they were now producing. 15 Ο. Was Peter Rossi receiving any 16 information during the years 1951 to 1955 in addition to, other than what you have already 17 testified to, there were health risks associated 18 19 with cigarette smoking? 20 You mean in addition to the news 21 stories and the advertising? 22 That you have already testified to, 0. 23 yes. 24 Not that I know of.

Where was Peter Rossi residing during

Α.

Q.

Ιn

about cigarettes and cancer and that he would have

seen a great variety of ads starting in 1952 and

23

24

- Pollay direct
- thereafter offering health protection through the filtered products.
 - Q. Did Peter Rossi have a concern during the years 1951 to 1955 about the health aspects of smoking?
 - A. More probably than not, even if he heard the allegations, he would not have believed them.
 - Q. What is the basis for your opinion?
 - A. Survey work done by the Tobacco
 Industry Research Council, maybe Committee, not
 Council, TIRC. I guess to be more precise than
 that, the surveys were done by Hill & Knowlton,
 public relations firm working on their behalf.
 - Q. What effect did the information environment have on Peter Rossi's smoking behavior during the years 1951 to 1955?
 - A. None that I know of.
 - Q. Does that mean no influence whatsoever?
 - A. It may have increased his thought that there might be some health risks but as I say, more probably than not, he would have not believed that those were valid, that he would have accepted the refutation by the cigarette firms and the

subsequent date. The function of cigarette

- advertising for existing smokers is to offer
 reassurance and reinforcement. In the absence of
 that cigarette advertising, if and when people
 contemplate or attempt quitting, the lack of that
 reinforcement makes their quitting efforts far more
 likely to be successful.
 - Q. How were the advertisements for filter cigarettes during the years 1951 to 1955 influential on Peter Rossi's smoking behavior?
 - A. This has already been pointed out. His smoking behavior does not change.
 - Q. Did they have an influence on his smoking behavior?
 - A. Apparently not if his behavior has not changed. It may have well influenced his attitudes and perceptions.
 - Q. Do you have an opinion about whether it influenced his attitudes and perceptions?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What is your opinion?
 - A. As I already stated, it's likely to have led him to believe that there might be a health risk and that that health risk was being responded to by firms offering filtered products.

- O

- 1 4

- Q. Do you know where Peter Rossi was employed during the years 1951 to 1955?
- A. As I mentioned before, I believe his first employment was with Schrafft's. I misattributed the time earlier but I believe during this period, he would have been working with Schrafft's.
- Q. What was Peter Rossi's information environment regarding cigarettes and health during the years 1955 to 1958?
- A. He would have seen a continuing amount of promotion on behalf of filtered products. More than half of the cigarette advertising by 1955 was for filtered product.
- Q. Did the information environment in the years 1955 to 1958 change in any other respect from the information environment for the years 1951 to 1955?
- A. From '51 to '55, I believe it was similar to the preceding period in that there were some stories reporting allegations of health consequences and even more stories refuting that.
- Q. Where was Peter Rossi residing in the years 1955 to 1958?

1	Pollay - direct
2	A. I don't recall specifically.
3	Q. Do you recall where he was employed?
4	A. I don't recall specifically.
5	MS. WALTERS: Dr. Pollay, I'm going to
6	instruct you that you can refer to any of these
7	documents to refresh your recollection in order to
8	answer.
9	THE WITNESS: For these matters of
10	fact, if this is not a memory test, we can pull out
11	the chronological summaries that exist. I don't
1 2	think he wants to
13	MS. WALTERS: I don't think that's for
14	the record.
15	MR. CRIST: That was a snide comment.
16	MS. WALTERS: Can he refer to any of
17	the documents to refresh his recollection?
18	MR. ALLINDER: If he wishes to refresh
19	his recollection, he may do so.
20	
21	BY MR. ALLINDER:
2 2	Q. Would you like to refresh your
23	recollection before you respond to the previously
2 4	asked question concerning where he was residing and

where he was employed during the years 1955 to

Pollay - direct 1 1958? 2 Yes, between '55 and '58, he was Α. employed at Queensboro Farm Products in Long Island. What is it that you have referred to to 7 refresh your recollection, Dr. Pollay? 8 The chronology of Peter Rossi. Α. Is there an exhibit number at the 9 Q. bottom of that document? 10 11 Yes, Exhibit 11. Α. 12 Dr. Pollay, do you know what newspapers Q. 13 and magazines Peter Rossi was reading during the 14 years 1955 to 1958? 15 No. I repeat that my recollection is 16 that during his adult years, he was a regular reader of the New York Times and the Wall Street 17 Journal and the Daily News. 18 19 Q. Did Peter Rossi have any other sources 20 of information regarding the health risk of smoking other than the advertisements and the articles 21 22 appearing in the New York Times? MS. WALTERS: And the magazines that he 23 read. 24

The news and advertising would

Α.

25

Yes, because if you mean by that

- Q. How was his belief different from his awareness of the health aspects of smoking in the years 1955 to 1958?
- A. His awareness would always precede his beliefs. That is, you would have to first be aware of allegations before you would then come with repetition to believe them to be possibly true.
- Q. Did Peter Rossi believe the health risk that had been associated with smoking during the years 1955 to 1958, those that he had heard about?
- A. Again, as before, more likely than not, he disbelieved them but at some stage, he acts as if he does believe that there might be some risks. We can't be certain how likely he judged those risks to be. But he acts to shift to a filtered product, offering him protection if those risks do, indeed, exist.
 - O. When did he do that?
- A. My opinion is that the best estimate for that time is about 1958, some couple of years

. . :

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- What was the effect of cigarette
- during the years 1955 to 1958?
 - To call his attention to both the health problem and the availability of products that were responsive to -- presented a response to the problem.
 - What was Peter Rossi's information 0. environment concerning the health risk of smoking during the years 1958 to 1963?
 - Again, it would have been predominantly that acquired through advertising and secondarily, that through available news.
 - What information was he receiving Q. during the years 1958 to 1963 concerning the health risk associated with smoking?
 - That if such a risk exists, that smoking filtered cigarettes eliminated that risk.
 - Was that message communicated through Q.

25

cigarette advertisements?

- Yes.
- Was it communicated in any other way?
- I believe so. I believe some of the news stories that were challenging the conclusions that cigarettes were a health problem would also comment on the filter, the innovations of filters and the number of filter products available and their growing popularity.
- Which publications did these news stories appear in?
- They appeared in a variety of places. Many newspapers would have the occasional story about this. It would be a continuing series of studies coming out of the medical community and a heavy flow of press releases coming out of the tobacco research committee feeding stories to the journalists.
- Did these stories appear in any publications that Peter Rossi read?
 - Certainly they did.
 - Which ones? Ο.
 - Α. I'm sure all of them.
 - Which newspapers and magazines was Q.

- Peter Rossi reading during the years 1958 to 1963?
- A. Throughout his adult years, he read the New York Times, the Daily News, the Wall Street
 Journal.
 - Q. What was Peter Rossi's belief concerning the health aspects of smoking during the years 1958 to 1963?
 - A. I think by that time, he comes to believe that if the health risks that are alleged are indeed true, that a filtered product is the appropriate behavior, that he should smoke a filtered product.
 - Q. Did he believe the health risks associated with cigarette smoking were true?
 - A. That I don't know. The typical smokers did not but I judge him to be a prudent individual and so he might have come to the conclusion that just on the chance that they were, that it was appropriate for him to smoke a filtered product.
 - Q. During the years 1958 to 1963, had

 Peter Rossi personalized the health risk that had
 been associated with cigarette smoking?
 - A. Yes, in the sense that he had taken personal action. He switched his own brand of

smoking, his own smoking behavior.

with smoking that had been reported during the

5

years 1958 to 1963?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The primary focus of concern in those days was with cancer.

Did Peter Rossi believe during the

What were the health risks associated

nonfilter cigarettes, he would get lung cancer? I think that at least in spirit is a question similar to what you asked before. I doubt that. I think more probably than not, he did not have that conclusion but did judge that if such

years 1958 to 1963 that if he continued to smoke

risks were present, that an appropriate response to protect himself against those risks would be to

smoke filters.

What was Peter Rossi's information environment regarding the health risk associated with smoking during the years 1964 to 1965?

The year 1964, '65 is the period of Α. time when the Surgeon General issues his first report on smoking and health. That story made the news for a day or two and he would have no doubt seen that and I believe subsequent to that, he

engaged in a discussion with his doctor, Dr. What did Dr. Ambrose tell Peter Rossi concerning the health risk associated with smoking? I think Dr. Ambrose agreed with the Surgeon General that the cancer risk was real. Did Peter Rossi believe the cancer risk to be real in 1964 to 1965? I can't be certain of that. He may well have felt that smoking Kent was an equally real response to that risk. Did Peter Rossi believe in 1964 to 1965 that if he smoked Kent cigarettes, he would not get More than probably than not, I judge him -- that is what he would conclude. That is the character of the advertising reassurance. Did Peter Rossi believe during the years 1964 to 1965 that if he smoked another brand of cigarettes, that he would get lung cancer? I can't be certain of that but it would be my opinion that he and anybody in those days would probably think that the risk of lung cancer

from smoking an unfiltered product would be higher.

23

24

Pollay - direct 1 and perhaps even would have judged some of the 2 filtered products to be inferior products. 3 product he smoked was judged by most people to be the safest product on the marketplace. 5 Did Peter Rossi believe that Kent 6 Q. cigarettes were the safest product on the 7 marketplace? 8 Yes, more probably than not, he did. Α. What is the basis of your opinion? 10 Q. The reports which survey smokers' 11 opinions of perceptions of different brands, 12 wherein Kent is identified as that having the 13 highest safety rating. 14 Which surveys are you referring to? 15 Q. I don't recall but the corporate Α. 16 17 documents produced in this case. You are referring to the corporate 18 Q. documents that were produced prior to your 19 20 deposition in this case? That's correct. 21 Α. And which we reviewed earlier? 22 Q. 23 That's correct. Do you have any other basis for your 24 Q.

opinion on that?

That's definitely the primary one with respect to how Kent was perceived. I have other

opinions, of course, about what Kent was saying and

what I judge its intended message to be.

- Where was Peter Rossi living during the years 1964 to 1965?
 - In Westfield.
 - New Jersey? Is that in New Jersey?
- Α. Yes.

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Were Peter Rossi's reading habits 11 Q. during the years 1964 to 1965 different from those 12 that you have described already for the earlier 13 14 years?
 - Slightly, that his taste in local newspapers might have shifted, he might have started reading something like the Bergen Record and not read as many of the metropolitan New York papers.
 - Was he still reading Time Magazine? Q.
- 21 Α. I believe so.
 - Was he still reading the Wall Street Q. Journal?
 - I believe so but again, it's possible Α. that he would read those with less frequency.

regarding cigarette smoking and health change for

P	0	1	1	a	У	_	đ	1	r	e	C	t
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

- Peter Rossi during the years 1964 to 1965?
- A. As we mentioned, he changed his address so he may have had a change of habit of his local newspaper but aside from that, he would be exposed to those things he read throughout his adult years.
- Q. Did Peter Rossi consider Dr. Ambrose to be a credible source of information regarding smoking and health?
- A. I assume that he had some trust in his doctor. I mean his doctor, no doubt echoing the Surgeon General opinion, hadn't done his own independent research on the topic.
- Q. Did Peter Rossi believe that the Surgeon General of the United States was a credible source of information regarding health risk associated with smoking and health?
- A. I would presume so, although there was a lot of things he would have been exposed to that would have cast doubt on that.
- Q. Did Peter Rossi think that cigarette advertisements were a credible source of information regarding the health risk associated with smoking and health?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you have an opinion about whether Peter Rossi thought that Dr. Ambrose was a more credible source or a less credible source for health information than cigarette advertisements?
- A. Yes, I would presume that he would judge the doctor to be a more credible source but what the advertising may lack in credibility it makes up for in repetition.
- Q. Does the repetition of advertising overcome a single communication of information that is credible?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did Peter Rossi consider the Tobacco
 Industry Research Council or the Council for
 Tobacco Research to be a credible source of
 information regarding the health risk associated
 with smoking and health?
- A. He probably would not even have known of their existence because most of the stories that they planted in the media were not attributed to them.
- Q. When you use the term "planted," Dr. Pollay, what do you mean?
 - A. I mean the crafting of stories and

their distribution to get publicity for the tobacco
industry point of view.

- Q. Are you referring only to stories that were published in newspapers and magazines that Peter Rossi read?
- A. No, I refer to stories published in all newspapers and magazines.
- Q. Was Peter Rossi influenced by news stories appearing in publications that he did not read?
 - A. No.

MR. ALLINDER: I think this is a good time for our afternoon break.

(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

THE WITNESS: I should offer a supplemental observation. You were asking in your earlier questions about the reading and information that Peter might have been exposed to. I was responding just mentioning newspapers. He is also a reader of magazines and generally, of course, an avid reader. So Time and Life Magazines are likely to be part of his reading habit before the sixties. It's been mentioned in the sixties but should have been mentioned earlier, as well. In

Pollay - direct 1 addition to that, radio and television, he has television early on in his life compared to the 3 average. Dr. Pollay, when did Peter Rossi read 5 Q. Time and Life Magazine, during what years? 6 7 I think he read them most heavily during the sixties and seventies because during the 8 fifties, he was busy establishing himself on the 10 job and had a young family. So it was more difficult to find the time to do leisure reading. 11 In the sixties and seventies, did he 12 Q. read Time and Life twice as much as he read 1t 13 during the fifties or less than twice as much? 14 I can't answer with that kind of 15 precision. 16 Was he a subscriber to Time and Life 17 Q. during the 1950's? 18 I believe he was a subscriber to Life. 19 I don't recall whether he also subscribed to Time 20 or just read it frequently. 21 22 Were there articles in these Q. publications in the years prior to 1965, 1965 and 23

Α.

24

25

prior, relating to smoking and health?

Yes, there would have been some in the

.

Pollay - direct 1 1950's and perhaps even before then but the first 2 flurry of such publishing was in 1952, late '52 and 3 then '53 and '54. What was the contribution of these **Q**. 5 articles to Peter Rossi's information environment 6 regarding smoking and health? 7 MS. WALTERS: Which articles? 8 MR. ALLINDER: The Time and Life 9 articles we are referring to. 10 I would say to plant seeds of 11 suspicion, perhaps, that cigarettes might be a 12 serious health risk. 13 When did articles appearing in Time and 14 Life Magazine plant the seeds of suspicion in Peter 15 Rossi that there might be serious health risk 16 17 associated with smoking? Whenever -- during the period of the 18 1950's and sixties. 19 20 The seeds of suspicion planted by these Q. articles occurred during the entire 1950's and 21 1960's time frame? 22 No, as I say, the most intense 23

publicity occurred in 1953 and '54, the first of

what was known as the health scares, and then there

24

And by industry term, you mean a

50/80 400

cigarette industry term?

Α.

That's correct.

23

24

- Q. Was the term "health scare" used by anyone other than members of the cigarette industry?
- A. Not that I recall, although people since who write about the history of that period will, of course, use the same term.
- Q. What was the genesis of the health scare that occurred in 1953 and 1954?
- A. Studies in the medical literature which were given some popular exposure by the primary outlet being this article in Reader's Digest. It actually had been published earlier in a more obscure publication called the Christian Herald.
- Q. Did an industry refutation appear in the 1952 Reader's Digest article?
- A. No, it did not, reputedly because Reader's Digest did not have any cigarette advertising income in jeopardy.
- Q. Were there any other causes of the health scare which occurred in 1953 and 1954 other than the articles that appeared in Reader's Digest and other publications?
- A. Those stories echoed somewhat throughout some other media and then we mentioned

Pollay - direct

- before the industry response had already begun by
 the offering of filter cigarettes, on the one hand,
 and by strengthening the health claims for the
 conventional products on the other. So health
 became the focus of attention as the criterion by
 which cigarettes were offered up in judgment.
 - Q. Was the basis of the stories which generated the health scare in 1953 and 1954 research that was done by scientists?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Was that reported in the popular press?
 - A. I'm a little confused. We are talking about the popular press reporting of something you asked was scientific and I said yes, it was scientific.
 - Q. My question is was it reported in the popular press that the health risks associated with cigarette smoking were the result of research conducted by scientists?
 - A. Yes, some of the articles would have disclosed the nature of the research and the identities of the people who had done the research and perhaps -- a thorough article would have done that as well as the industry counter-arguments

- Pollay direct
 about less conclusive research.
- Q. When did the industry counter-arguments occur?
- A. Almost instantly, throughout '53, '54 and all the mid-fifties, stories were distributed on behalf of the industry and also advertising undertaken on behalf of the industry.
- Q. In 1953 and 1954, was Peter Rossi aware of the health scare?
- A. He certainly would have been aware of the advertising talking about the health promises.
- Q. Was he aware of the reports of scientific research linking smoking to various diseases or health concerns?
- A. I don't know. More likely than not, if he was aware of that -- more likely than not, he was aware of the allegation and more likely than not, he did not believe the allegation.
- Q. What is the basis of your opinion that more likely than not, Peter Rossi did not believe the allegations associating smoking with health concerns during the years 1953 and 1954?
- A. The data gathered by Hill & Knowlton on behalf of the Tobacco Industry Research Committee.

MR. CRIST: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that comment. Speak up.

MS. WALTERS: This whole thing, this last series of questions and responses are a repeat of what was already gone over in this deposition earlier.

- Q. During the years 1953 and 1954, did

 Peter Rossi believe that cigarette advertising was
 a more credible source of information regarding the
 health risk associated with smoking than results of
 scientific research published in the popular press?
- A. I'm not certain of that. It would, of course, depend how those results are reported and how they are worded, the weight that would be given to them, and I think even if those were presented in a way that made the allegations highly credible, you must remember that those results appear with relative infrequency and the advertising appears with great frequency in the multiple media.
- Q. Is a consumer more likely to pay attention to advertisements for products that he or she uses than advertisements for products that he

Pollay - direct or she does not use?

- A. More likely to pay attention to products that you use or anticipate using.
- Q. Did Peter Rossi read advertisements for brands of cigarettes that he didn't smoke?
 - A. Probably.
- Q. Did he do this during all the time period '42 through 1965 or is there a difference depending upon the time period?
- A. I wouldn't say there should be a sharp difference before the time period but he might have paid attention to a greater diversity of the ads before his habits are established and once he has a brand loyalty, he might be more inclined to pay attention to the advertising for his own brand.

 But I think the term you used before of reading ads is a little misleading because people are exposed to ads and impressions are formed. It's relatively uncommon for people to read ads in close detail.
- Q. Did Peter Rossi read advertising for Kent cigarettes?
- MS. WALTERS: I'm just going to object to your using the term "read" because Dr. Pollay has already said earlier that's really an incorrect

Α.

Pollay - direct

would have taken an interest in the launch of the product in 1952 and '53, especially in those ads which reported American Medical Association tests that were ostensibly proving that the best filter available was the one offered in Kent.

- Q. What is the basis of your opinion that most smokers in 1952 and 1953 were interested in Kent advertising?
- A. Because it was presented as very newsworthy. That was the style of the advertising.
- Q. Do you have any other basis for your opinion?
- A. Yes. It was presented in very dramatic form, that is, double page foldouts and other large format advertising was used to herald the event and major buys were done on television to herald the event. It was a very significant product launch.
- Q. Did Kent advertising during the years
 1952 to 1953 affect Peter Rossi's smoking behavior?
- A. His behavior, no. He continued to smoke Chesterfield.
- Q. Did Kent advertisements during the years 1952 to 1953 influence Peter Rossi's information environment regarding the health risk

- Pollay direct associated with smoking?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In what way?
- A. It, like other filter advertising, was suggesting that there might be a health risk and that if such a risk existed, that this product was a response to that.
- Q. Did Peter Rossi during the years 1952 to 1953 receive a message from Kent cigarette advertisements that there may be a health risk associated with smoking?
- A. I believe so. That is the implicit rationale for why a filtered product, to offer health protection.
- Q. Did Peter Rossi remain interested in Kent advertising in the years 1953 to 1954?
- A. Peter Rossi was a smoker and as a smoker, would have paid more attention to cigarette advertising than to advertising for other products, including Kent.
- Q. Was Peter Rossi interested in advertisements for other brands of cigarettes during the years 1953 to 1955?
 - A. I believe I just answered that. As a

smoker, he would pay more attention to cigarette ads than he would to ads for products for which he was not a consumer. So products for all brands would come to his attention.

- Q. Is it your opinion that Peter Rossi would have been no more interested in the advertisements for Kents than he would have been in the advertisements for other brands of cigarettes?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. My professional judgment about the creative effectiveness of the Kent campaign was presented to attract attention through its authoritative voice.
- Q. So he was more interested in Kent advertising during the years 1952 to 1953 because of the brand launch, the media campaign. Is that correct?
- A. No, I wouldn't say -- no, I would say that the ads were made more interesting than some of the competitive advertising.
- Q. Was he more interested in Kent ads than advertisements for other brands of cigarettes during the years following 1953?

- A. Again, it's my professional judgment that the Kent campaign was made more interesting than some of the other filter campaigns of the day.
 - Q. And your opinion --
- A. It was more readily available, as well. It was bankrolled with more money so it was more accessible to him.
- Q. Is that your opinion regarding Kent advertising for all years from 1953 to 1965 or is it limited to certain years?
- A. That's my opinion for the early years.

 There were other brands that were quite successful coming on stream in the later 1950's.
- Q. Did Peter Rossi remain more interested in Kent advertisements than the advertisements for other brands of cigarettes in the years after 1953?
- A. Again, it's my judgment that in that period, the ads were made more interesting. He was a smoker so he was generally interested in cigarette advertising. The Kent ads, in my judgment, were made particularly newsworthy and were particularly prominent in the media so that they were more likely to have been impactful than the less frequently or less well designed -- less

. :

Pollay - direct 1 frequently exposed or less well designed 2 advertising. 3 Is that your opinion for the years 1953 through 1965? 5 No, it's not. You asked that question 6 Α. 7 already. Is that your opinion for the years 1953 8 Q. through 1957? 9 Yes, generally so. The other 10 successful product launches tended to occur in the 11 later part of the 1950's. 12 How was Kent advertising more 13 interesting than advertising for other brands of 14 cigarettes during the late 1950's, the mid-and late 15 1950's? 16 MS. WALTERS: I think that has been 17 asked and answered about three or four times. 18 MR. ALLINDER: I don't believe so. 19 It was presented in an authoritative 20 tone of voice and presented the filter ads endorsed 21 by the American Medical Association as the most 22 effective filter available on the market and the 23 ads themselves included scientific demonstrations, 24

25

a little experiment was performed.

Pollay - direct

Q. Why did Peter Rossi switch to Kent cigarettes in 1958?

MS. WALTERS: He said approximately 1958. You can answer.

- A. It's my opinion that he did so to respond to any health risks that might be present.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, can you take the notebook which is marked Exhibit 8 in front of you. Let me ask you to turn to the second section that's marked "Kent." Would you turn to the first advertisement, please. There is a notation at the bottom of the page that reads T 6/29/53. Do you know what that refers to?
- A. I take it to refer to Time Magazine and the date of publication.
- Q. Do you know whether Peter Rossi saw this advertisement?
- A. I can't be certain that he saw this ad in specific but this ad is part of a campaign whose variations you see in all the subsequent pages and we can be quite certain that he would have seen the campaign at some point.
- Q. Was this advertisement different than advertisements that were appearing for other brands

Pollay - direct 1 2 of cigarettes at this time, 1953? Α. Yes, it is. Q. In what way? This ad is claiming that it is the number one choice of all leading cigarettes. 6 7 Q. Is it different in any other way? It has the unique Micronite filter, 8 which was something that only this brand offered. 9 10 Is there a message in this advertisement other than what you have already 11 indicated? 12 13 Yes, that Kent offers greater health Α. protection than any other cigarette. 14 15 And where is it that you see that in the advertisement? 16 17 At several places -- well, I judge it Α. 18 to be the theme of the entire advertisement but 19 it's specified in exactly those words at several 20 places throughout the text and there is a 21 scientific demonstration simulated in a couple of 22 panels that provide eyewitness proof. 23 If Peter Rossi was exposed to this Q. advertisement, do you know what message he would 24

have received from the ad?

- A. That if you were concerned about cancer, that switching to Kent would be an appropriate response.
 - Q. What is the basis of your opinion?
 - A. My reading of the ad.
 - Q. Is it possible that different people can read the same advertisement and understand it differently?
 - A. Yes, that's a possibility, but I think it would take a perverse reading of this ad not to conclude that it's offering health protection.
 - Q. Is special training, education or experience required in order to correctly read the message of the advertisement?
 - A. It depends on the nature of the advertisement. When the advertisement is primarily verbal, like this, it's designed obviously to be read by the consumer and comprehended by the consumer. Much advertising is visual and its intent is nonverbal and may be more subliminal to the average consumer; that is, beneath the average consumer's awareness.
 - Q. Do you have an opinion about whether or not this advertisement influenced Peter Rossi's

I'm not sure what you mean by

Α.

mentioned before that uses a scientific

Is this an advertisement that you

0.

24

- A. Yes, the panels that look like television screens are stills from the television ad that showed Kent being compared to a competitive brand.
- Q. Was the use of the scientific demonstration in this advertisement unique among advertisements in 1953?
- A. It wasn't unique in that other products were sometimes being used -- being promoted with scientific claims. It was relatively unique among cigarette manufacturers to do, especially a live demonstration of that nature.
- Q. Did this advertisement or this advertising campaign, which included this advertisement, influence Peter Rossi's smoking behavior?
- A. No, apparently not, not in the short run. Ultimately, perhaps. While it doesn't influence his behavior, as I said before, it is a contributing factor to an evolution of perceptions and attitudes about products.
- Q. And what is the evolution of perceptions and attitudes about the products that

this advertisement performs?

- A. It suggests that there are health risks associated with smoking. It doesn't make specific reference to cancer but it does suggest that one out of every three smokers is unusually sensitive to tars and nicotine.
- Q. If Peter Rossi had read this advertisement, would he have understood it to indicate that other brands of cigarettes were less safe than Kent?
- A. I think he would have understood that the majority of smokers might not be at risk, that is, the two out of three smokers might not be sensitive to it, but if you were one of the one in three who was sensitive, that Kent would offer a more effective response.
- Q. Can you turn to the next advertisement, please. I believe this one is marked at the bottom T 7/27/53.
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Do you have that one?
- Q. Yes, I do.
- Q. Is this an advertisement which Peter Rossi saw or was exposed to?

- A. This is part of the same campaign, which we can be certain that he saw.
- Q. And the basis of your opinion that he was exposed to this advertisement is what?
- A. I didn't say that he was exposed to this specific advertisement, I said that this advertisement is part of a campaign that I'm certain that he saw. The basis for that was it was a massive campaign in all the major magazines and radio and television and newspapers and continued over many months.
- Q. Did this advertisement affect Peter Rossi's smoking behavior?
- A. It may not have affected his behavior instantly but it would have attributed to his changing attitudes and perceptions.
- Q. And those change in attitudes and perceptions are those concerning whether or not there are health risks associated with smoking. Is that correct?
- A. Whether or not there is a health risk associated and whether or not Kent was a meaningful response to that health risk.
 - Q. Is the message conveyed by this

- 1 | Pollay direct
- 2 advertisement any different than the one that we
- 3 | just discussed?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

- A. It may well be different in some of its detail, some of the facts and arguments that it provides, but it's unlikely to really differ in substance. It also provides a demonstration, in this case comparing Kent against four competitive
 - Q. Is this another of the scientific demonstrations you mentioned?

products instead of against one.

- A. Yes, although I don't think this is science as would be practiced by a scientist so much as for the sake of providing dramatic visuals for advertising purposes.
- Q. Would you turn to the next advertisement, please, which is marked at the bottom T 8/17/53. What is the reference at the bottom of the page, Dr. Pollay?
- A. T 8/17/53.
 - Q. Does that, again, refer to Time?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. August 17, 1953?
- A. Apparently pages six and seven of Time
 Magazine.

- Q. Is this advertisement part of the same campaign as the two we previously discussed?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Does it have a similar message?
- A. Yes. It's also addressed to the one out of every three smokers who are sensitive and also provides stills of the pseudoscientific demonstration.
- Q. Did this advertisement influence Peter Rossi's smoking behavior?
- A. It, like the others in the campaign, however many and whichever of those he saw, would have shaped his attitudes and perceptions and ultimately, his behavior.
- Q. Is this advertisement different in any other respect than cigarette advertisements for other brands in ways different from the ones you have already mentioned?
 - A. From other brands of cigarettes?
 - Q. That's correct.
- A. Yes, I believe it's the only one at this point in time offering this kind of scientific demonstration and certainly it's the only one offering the Micronite filter.

Did this advertisement influence Peter

It, like the others he was exposed to,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rossi's smoking behavior?

Q.

1	Pollay - direct
2	would have shaped his attitudes and perceptions and
3	ultimately his behavior.
4	Q. The next one, Dr. Pollay, is the one
5	marked 9/28/53, also from Time. Is that correct?
6	A. That's correct, from pages 48 and 49 of
7	Time.
8	Q. And is this advertisement also one from
9	the same campaign that we have been discussing?
10	A. Yes, it is.
11	Q. Is the message of this advertisement
12	similar to the ones that we have just discussed?
13	A. Yes, it is.
14	Q. This advertisement uses the similar
15	scientific demonstration as we have been talking
16	about?
17	A. That's correct. It presents it in even
18	greater detail.
19	Q. Did this advertisement influence Peter
20	Rossi's behavior?
21	A. Yes, this, like the other campaigns,
22	other elements of this campaign he was exposed to,
23	would have influenced his perceptions and attitudes
2 4	and ultimately, his behavior.
25	Q. The next advertisement, Dr. Pollay, is

is the headline as opposed to the text that makes

it authoritative?

24

- headline and the storyboard sequence of stills that provides the demonstration of this scientific, pseudoscientific experiment. But what is missing from this ad that was in previous ads are some of the detail of information about the fact that there are 63 million smokers in the nation or that the filters remove particulate matter down to two microns.
 - Q. The next advertisement -- excuse me,
 Dr. Pollay, before you turn to the next
 advertisement, did this advertisement have
 influence on Peter Rossi's smoking behavior other
 than what you described for the previous ads?
 - A. Yes, this ad, like all of the ads in this campaign, would have contributed to his perceptions and attitudes about smoking risks and Kent filtered and ultimately his behavior.
 - Q. Would this advertisement affect Peter Rossi's thinking about other brands of filtered cigarettes?
 - A. It should. It presents the evidence that this filter is more effective than other filters.

That smoking Kent provides a wonderful

Α.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

men smoke Kent, that is, men who enjoy going hunting.

- Q. Is this an advertising campaign that would have drawn Peter Rossi's interest?
- A. Perhaps, but by my judgment, I think the preceding campaigns were likely to have interested him more.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. Because I think as someone college educated in a scientific area, that he would have taken an interest in the demonstrations, although I think he would have taken some interest in this because he enjoyed fishing and outdoors activities himself but there is less to be learned from this ad.
- Q. How did this advertisement influence
 Peter Rossi's information environment regarding
 smoking?
- A. It would reinforce the idea that Kent offered the best filter on the market.
- Q. Does "best" in this context mean safer, in your view?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. So Peter Rossi, from reading this

1	Pollay - direct
2	advertisement, would think that other filter
3	cigarettes were less safe than Kents. Is that your
4	opinion?
5	A. Yes, to the extent that he thought
6	there was a health risk, that this would be the
7	most effective response to that.
8	Q. How did this advertisement influence
9	Peter Rossi's smoking behavior?
10	A. It would have reinforced the perception
1 1	established in the preceding campaigns of the
1 2	preceding five years that Kent offered the best
13	filter in the market.
1 4	Q. The next advertisement, Dr. Pollay, has
15	a reference in the bottom right 27. There doesn't
16	appear to be a date on that page. Do you see one?
17	A. Yes, nor the next one, which is from
18	the same campaign.
19	MS. WALTERS: 1959. It's just above
20	the 27.
2 1	Q. This is a 1959 ad. Is that correct?
22	Is this an advertisement from the same or a
23	different campaign than the one we just discussed?
2 4	A. It's a different campaign.
25	Q. And what is the message of this

- A. That it makes good sense to smoke Kent, that Kent is superior now for several reasons, not only because of its Micronite filter but also because of superporous micropore paper and its tobacco. "The best from end to end" is its underlined claim.
- Q. The reference to the superporous micropore paper, is that a health claim, in your opinion?
 - A. No.
- Q. Does this advertisement indicate to Peter Rossi that it is safer to smoke Kent cigarettes?
- A. I believe so, in the context of the preceding ads about the effectiveness of the Micronite filter, the claim that it filters best, reinforces that idea and that's what is meant by making good sense, that is, to protect yourself against health risks.
- Q. Would a person who looked at this advertisement without having been exposed to previous Kent advertising understand this advertisement to have a health claim?

A. Perhaps. If they understood that there
were health concerns and allegations about
cigarettes, they might also read "good sense" as
meaning that the filtering best was sensible
because it was responding to the health concerns.

- Q. How did this advertisement influence
 Peter Rossi's smoking behavior?
- A. Again, it would reinforce his perceptions that Kent offered the best filter in the market and it's my opinion that by this time, he was smoking Kent and so that would have offered him the reassurance that he had made a sensible choice in doing so.
- Q. The next advertisement, Dr. Pollay, can you discern a date on it?
- A. No, not from my copy. It looks to be part of the same campaign so it should be close in time.
- Q. Does this advertisement have a message similar to the one we just discussed?
- A. Yes. It also shows the cigarette in the ashtray and describes its many elements and it revolves around the headline "Kent filters best."
 - Q. Did this advertisement have the same

- Pollay direct
- influence on Peter Rossi's behavior as you indicated for the previous ad?
- A. Yes, that it would reinforce him that he had chosen the best filter available in the market.
- Q. Would the advertisements, specific advertisements within the same campaign all have the same effect on Peter Rossi's smoking behavior?
- A. If they are all designed with equal effectiveness to attract attention, certainly that would be their intent, to be equally as effective to provide variation just to avoid boredom.
- Q. Can you turn the page, please, Dr. Pollay. The next advertisement is dated January 18, 1963, from Time. Is that correct?
 - A. No, I have one that you may not have.
 - Q. What is the next one that you have?
- A. Then we missed one someplace along the way. There were two without dates -- three without dates. "It makes good sense to smoke Kents," two "Kent filters best" -- three "Kent filters best."
- Q. The last "Kent filters best" without a date is the one with the photograph of the man with the cigarette in his hand that says at the top

1	Pollay - direct
2	"there is no substitute for quality," is that what
3	you have in your book?
4	A. That's correct, and I have yet to
5	discuss that.
6	Q. And is that an advertisement from the
7	same campaign that we have just previously been
8	discussing?
9	A. Yes, although in layout, it's a variant
10	of the preceding ones. It no longer shows a
11	cigarette in the ashtray.
1 2	Q. Is the message of this advertisement
13	the same as the other ads from the same campaign?
14	A. Yes, with slightly different emphasis
15	on the elements in the ad.
16	Q. Was the influence of this advertisement
17	on Peter Rossi's smoking behavior the same as the
18	other advertisements in the same campaign?
19	A. It would be similar. As I say, there
20	is slightly different emphasis on the elements.
2 1	Q. And the next advertisement, Dr. Pollay,
22	is dated January 18, 1963, also from Time
23	Magazine? Are we on the same page now?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And this advertisement is from a

Pollay - direct 1 different campaign. Is that correct? 2 That's correct. And what is the message of this Q. advertisement? 5 That you can be reassured by smoking 6 Kent, you are treating yourself kindly by smoking 7 Kent. 8 Where do you read that in the 9 Q. advertisement, Dr. Pollay? 10 11 In the entitling on the illustration 12 itself. It says "treat your taste kindly with 13 Q. Kent," is that what you are reading? 14 That's correct. The picture shows a 15 pastoral scene with a couple by a creek. 16 17 probably not too visible in your copy but it's an autumnal scene with water gently flowing in the 18 background. 19 20 Do you interpret this advertisement as Q. having a health claim? 21 I judge that the "treat your taste 22 23 kindly" has a health connotation. Is there anything else about the 24 Q.

advertisement that communicates a health claim?

- Q. Would an individual who had not been exposed to earlier Kent advertisements receive a health message from this ad?
- A. They might, if they were aware of all of the allegations about the health consequences of smoking, the notion of refining and treating yourself kindly might well suggest that this is a benign cigarette as opposed to others which are more dangerous.
- Q. What was the influence of this advertisement on Peter Rossi's smoking behavior?
- A. It would have reinforced him that he was treating himself kindly by smoking Kent.
- Q. Was Kent in 1963 advertising its filters as the best filter on the market?
- A. It refers now to the new Micronite filter, which it's my understanding probably was no longer the best filter on the market. So it does

- Q. Were there other brands of cigarettes, other brands of filter cigarettes that advertised their filters as being the best filters on the market?
- A. Some. There were certainly lots who talked about filter effectiveness. I don't remember direct comparative advertising such as Kent did with the head to head comparisons with the competitive product.
- Q. Peter Rossi in the late 1950's, mid to late 1950's, exposed to cigarette advertisements, would find Kent as the only brand advertised as having the best filter on the market. Is that correct?
- A. In the late 1950's, I believe so in the sense that I'm not -- I don't recall any competitive advertising that would have made comparative claims. In addition, there was in 1957 or '58 a Reader's Digest report that was judged to be as good as an endorsement for the Kent filter and coincident with that, the Kent campaign, which we do not have exampled here, called attention to those results.

- Q. What were the results reported in the Reader's Digest article?
- A. Just that the Kent filtered best, so consistent with the claims that Kent had been making over the years.
- Q. You had mentioned earlier a Kent advertisement concerning an AMA study. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. What was the results of the AMA study?
- A. That there was a small and by their reckoning, insignificant difference in the level of nicotine delivery but that that difference was in the favor of Kent.
- Q. In your opinion, were the Kent advertisements that claimed that its filter was the best filter on the market true or untrue?
- A. I think through much of the period, they were making a mountain out of a molehill; that according to the American Medical Association, the difference was not all that substantial. In fact, they complained vigorously about the implied endorsement in those ads and Kent apparently modified the filter on several occasions throughout

its history and I think the general direction of those modifications was to loosen the filter to permit more tar and nicotine delivery.

- Q. Was Peter Rossi influenced by advertising for other brands of cigarettes during the time period that he was smoking Chesterfield?
- A. Yes, I believe that all of the advertising for cigarettes he would have seen, which was increasingly for filtered product, would have led him to increasingly judge that there might well be a reason for all these filtered products. So that his perceptions of whether or not there was a health risk and which brands would offer the best response to that health risk, if he concluded that that was substantial, would have been affected.

MR. ALLINDER: Cindy, I'm going to take a short break, which I realize comes out of my time for the afternoon.

MS. WALTERS: Okay.

MR. ALLINDER: And do you want me to have the receptionist give you a call?

MS. WALTERS: Yes, unless you know when you will come back.

MR. ALLINDER: I shouldn't be more than

```
Pollay - direct
1
     about five minutes. I will take a comfort break
     and we will get started again.
 3
                 MS. WALTERS: Okay.
                 (Whereupon a recess was taken.)
 5
                 Doctor, the last advertisement we were
 6
          Q.
     discussing, as I recall, was the one dated February
 7
     1, 1963. Is that correct?
 8
                 Just a minute, I will be with you.
 9
     February 1 -- we had discussed the one preceding
10
     that so we are up to that one.
11
                The advertisement that is labeled
12
     T 2/1/63 BC, that's the one we are going to discuss
13
     now, that also is a reference that this
14
     advertisement appeared in Time on February 1,
15
     1963. Is that correct?
16
17
                 Yes. BC probably means back cover.
          Α.
                 Is this an advertisement that is
18
     another within the same campaign of the one we just
19
     talked about?
20
21
          Α.
                That's right.
                 And what is the message of this
22
     advertisement?
23
                 This campaign is about treating
24
          Α.
     yourself kindly with the Kent product that's
```

refining, that is, refines away the roughness of cigarettes, the harshness of cigarettes.

- Q. And is that a health message, in your opinion?
- A. Yes, it is. It is a reassurance to the health concern.
- Q. Is this a message that would be understood by a person as a health message who had not been exposed to Kent advertising in previous years?
- A. I think as I responded to the same question in the preceding ad, perhaps, if they understood the seriousness of the health allegations against the cigarette product, they would infer that treating yourself kindly and refining away harshness and roughness was removing the health problem, dealing with the health problem.
- Q. Would Peter Rossi understand this ad to have a health message?
- A. I'm not sure whether he or any consumer would necessarily articulate that in exactly the same way I would but I think they would take health reassurance from that and when asked for playback on Kents, they would provide health-related

- Q. How do you know what message Peter Rossi would take from this advertisement?
- A. I assume that as a Kent smoker, he would be reading this ad and like others reading the ad, would do so in light of the health concerns that were common and would be reassured by the calm demeanor being modeled and the words about treating yourself kindly.
- Q. Would all Kent smokers read this advertisement in that same way?
- A. No, not necessarily. I think the research documents for this campaign indicate that those kind of health-related responses were the more common but they were not the only responses.
- Q. Were there Kent smokers who were exposed to this advertisement in 1963 who didn't understand the advertisement to contain a health message?
- A. Again, in my judgment, this ad functions in part on a visual basis, that is so people seeing the ad would have the experience of seeing these people being relaxed with their Kent cigarette. That would be their experience. It

· •
takes no understanding or other cognitive work, as
you suggest, to have had that experience and so
with repeated exposures, that would be a health
relevance experience, it would be part of the
reassurance that they received from this ad.

- Q. Do the company documents you referred to as the basis of your opinion indicate that 100 percent of the Kent smokers who read this advertisement understood it to be a health message?
 - A. A hundred percent? No.
- Q. Some Kent smokers in 1963 exposed to this advertisement would, then, understand it to not have a health message?
- A. When asked for a spontaneous recall after exposure to the ad, some people provided playback about taste.
 - Q. What percentage?
- A. I forget the exact percent but I do recall it was a smaller percent than were providing health relevant playback.
- Q. What percentage responded with health relevant playback?
- A. We will have the dig out the document to answer that to the precision you request. Where

Pollay - direct are those documents? The documents from March 22nd.

- Q. (Handing).
- A. The document which I will base that opinion upon is called a claim test of six copy themes for Kent cigarettes and on the top, it seems to say 11K-03-62.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, could you read the document

 ID number on the first page and the last page of

 the document you are referring to?
 - A. Yes. 84420695 through 20715.
 - Q. And which page are you referring to?
- A. Page 20703. This document is a test of alternative ways of expressing the kindness theme. Kindness is the core concept sought to be communicated and there are various slogans in comparative tests here. This page refers to the results for treat your taste kindly.
- Q. Is that document the basis of your opinion regarding the message that a Kent smoker would take about the advertisement we were referring to?
 - A. In part.
 - Q. What are the other bases of your

e opinion?

- A. My own judgment about the reason for the pastoral scene, my experience with the motivation research results in this preceding era that led, among other factors, led to the development of the visual-based strategies. There are other pages in this document which present various data.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, after you have had an opportunity to refer to that document, what percentage of the Kent smokers reading the advertisement we were discussing, the one labeled T 2/1/63, would understand this ad to convey a health message?
- A. Again, I should repeat what I said before. The conveyance of the health message does not necessarily require the cognitive awareness on the part of consumers that that is what is going on, it is their experience of a health image, that is, a picture of health may be sufficient to contribute to their perceptions and attitudes.
- Q. Can you answer the question after referring to the document are there some Kent smokers reading this advertisement who would

- Pollay direct understand it to convey a message other than health?
- A. There are 24 percent who report kindness in the playback and there are six percent who report better flavor or a treat to your taste in the playback.
- Q. I'm sorry, what was the first percentage that you gave me?
- A. 24 percent report kindness as the core concept, being treated kindly, mild, not harsh, and six percent report a treat for your taste or better flavor.
- Q. Were only 30 percent of the responses reported or are there other categories, as well?
- A. There are some 40 percent who applaud the slogan because it's short and to the point.
- Q. And it is your opinion that Peter Rossi falls within the 24 percent of the True smokers who understood this ad to convey a kindness message?
- A. Yes, it's my opinion that the ad is designed to communicate gentleness involved in rich flavor and that's the function of the visual, to underscore the gentleness concept.
 - Q. What is your opinion about how this

advertisement affected Peter Rossi's smoking

3

behavior?

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That it would reinforce his brand choice of Kent and contribute to his judgment that he had made an appropriate choice in responding to any concerns he might have about health consequences of smoking.

- Would your answer to that question be any different if it was directed at any other True smoker -- excuse me, Kent smoker in 1963? picked person X, a Kent smoker, rather than Peter Rossi, and I asked you how did this advertisement influence that individual's smoking behavior, would you give the same answer?
- Certainly the same unless I knew Α. specifics to lead me to another response because the smokers for the Kent product as a group would be concerned smokers relative to the larger universe of all smokers.
- Is it correct that in formulating your Ο. opinion regarding the influence of this advertisement on Peter Rossi's smoking behavior, you considered Peter Rossi to be similar to other smokers of Kent cigarettes?

- A. Yes, in general. He, like other smokers of the Kent product, has above average education and is reasonably well read so being an average Kent smoker is not necessarily the same as being an average citizen.
- Q. And you consider Peter Rossi to be an average Kent smoker unless you have information to the contrary. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Is this a transformational advertisement?
- A. It's to some extent both but I would say it's primarily transformational in character.
- Q. What aspects of the advertisement are transformational in character?
- A. It's transformational because most of the work, in my judgment, is performed by the illustration and the slogan "treating yourself kindly," for which there is not much supportive argumentation.
- Q. Be which aspects of the advertisement are informational in nature?
- A. There is in smaller print reference to a new Micronite filter. So apparently, the filter

1 | Pollay - direct

- has been changed. So if you read the smaller print, you might be aware that the filter is no longer the same as it was.
 - Q. Is there a difference in credibility between transformational advertisements and informational advertisements to the average consumer?
 - A. It's my opinion that transformational advertising, the issue of credibility does not arise, that the ad is experienced and there is relatively little assessment for credibility.

 There are no verbal assertions to be weighed in the picture.
 - Q. Is there an issue of credibility in informational advertisements?
 - A. In what?
 - Q. In informational advertisements.
 - A. Potentially, but I think the average citizen assumes that the advertising is not false and misleading in any material respect, that it's sufficiently regulated to spare them from that.
 - Q. Are there different degrees or let me ask the question a different way, do advertisements differ in degree in terms of the informational

- Pollay direct content?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So an advertisement can contain more information than another advertisement. Is that essentially what you are saying?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Is the issue of credibility more significant for an advertisement that contains more information than it is significant for an advertisement that contains less information?
- A. I don't believe so. To the extent that credibility is an issue, and as I say, it often is not, I say it most typically is not, it presumed that ads are held to some standard of truthfulness or the ad is experienced without an assessment of credibility. Whatever information is in the ad would be judged to be honest even if self-serving.
- Q. I take it, then, you would disagree with the statement that people tend to counter-argue more with advertisements that contain more as opposed to less information?
- A. No, it's not a question of more versus less information. What I would say is that ads that are transformational in character are less

- Pollay direct
 inclined to provoke counter-arguing. Ads that are
 informational in character may provoke
 counter-arguing or more likely than
 transformational ads to provoke counter-arguing.
 - Q. What is the concept of counter-arguing?
- A. Put simply, it's those cognitions that occur spontaneously in the consumer when they are exposed to an assertion that says that can't be true and begin to provide arguments against the thesis of the ad.
- Q. Does counter-arguing occur only when it is apparent that the information contained in the advertisement is false?
 - A. It occurs when credibility is strained.
- Q. Is credibility strained in advertisements that make extreme claims, such as the best of something?
- A. It depends on the nature of the extreme claim. It can be.
- Q. If there are a variety of products on the market and an advertisement for a single brand of this product says that it is the best of all of them, is that an extreme claim?
 - A. No, not necessarily. That claim tends

to be commonplace, if it's just said in a general way like that, like we are the best restaurant in town, for example.

- Q. Do consumers in general accept at face value claims such as that, we are the best restaurant in town?
- A. Something that's of a general nature like that they may feel to be the opinion of the advertiser. If it's presented in a more scientific way or as the opinion of an independent judge, that would be a different matter.
- Q. Is the credibility of a claim such as that, we are the best restaurant in town, more extreme when there are competitors advertising their products similarly?
- A. Is it more extreme? No, it sounds like the way you framed the question that it's more commonplace.
- Q. Would that lead to consumers questioning the credibility of the advertisement more?
- A. I think it leads to people concluding that that's the opinion of the proprietor, the advertiser.

- Q. Does that mean that that advertisement would have lower credibility?
 - A. Lower than what?
- Q. Lower than an advertisement where there were not competitors' claims, competing claims.
- A. I think the ad would have more credibility if it was the only person, the only firm making such a claim, would have even more credibility if it was able to marshal evidence like consumer panel data or gourmet assessments or something like that to remove it from the presentation of their own opinion to the opinion of others.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, if competitors selling the same product, selling different brands of the same product, all use independent endorsements in their advertisements, does that lead consumers to question the credibility of the independent endorsements?
- A. If they are all offering identical claims, I would think so. If all restaurants claimed to be rated the number one choice of the New York Times food editor, that would clearly be an impossible situation.

- 20
 - A. March 8, 1963.

Q.

that correct?

22

21

- 23
- 24
- 25
- A. Yes, the inside of the front cover.

and apparently, from the notation on the

advertisement, it appeared on the front cover.

The same one. This also is from Time

Pollay - direct

1

5

6

7

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Is this an advertisement from the same campaign as the one we just discussed?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And what is the message of this ad if it is different from the other ads in the campaign we have already discussed?
 - A. The message is a health reassurance to concerned smokers, that Kent is kind.
- Q. What is the message that Peter Rossi received from this advertisement?
 - A. It would have contributed to his perceptions that Kent was kind.
 - Q. And any other Kent smoker would have received the same message. Is that correct?
 - A. Yes, more likely than not.
 - Q. And I take it, Dr. Pollay, that this advertisement would have had the same influence on Peter Rossi's smoking behavior, in your opinion, as the prior advertisements from the same campaign we have previously discussed?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. The next one is April 12, 1963. Is that from the same campaign?
 - A. Yes, it is.

1 2

- Q. And that also would have similar messages and similar influence on Peter Rossi, in your opinion?
- A. That's correct. The entire campaign is built around showing couples at ease in outdoor settings and of course, they are far more attractive in color than they are in black and white.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, will you turn, please, about four or five pages until you get to an advertisement that's marked September 13, 1963.

 That's also from Time Magazine, it appears?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And this is an advertisement from a different campaign. Is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. What is the message of this advertisement?
 - A. That Kent should be your preference and you have to read the fine print to get a message as to why. It's a balance, several points is communicated between balance of filtration and taste. So it offers mildness and satisfying taste, a fine filter, a mild taste. So balance is the key

Pollay - direct

the finer the filter, the milder the taste."

- Q. Does "finer filter and mild satisfying taste" indicate a health message to you?
- A. Yes. The fine filter is a reinforcer of the established credibility of the Micronite filter.
- Q. Is there anything else about this advertisement that contains a health message?
- A. That idea is reinforced, Lorillard research developed the Micronite filter to do a good job in filtration, so it indicates that research was involved in developing an ideal filter.
- Q. What is the basis of your opinion that Peter Rossi would understand the health message from this advertisement?
 - A. I don't believe I said that.
- Q. I'm sorry, I forgot to ask you the question, then. We have certainly been going from ad to ad. Let me ask you the question first. What message would Peter Rossi have understood from this advertisement?
- A. That question I believe you did ask, my response to that was Kent should be your choice.

- Q. It is your opinion, then, that Peter
 Rossi did not understand this advertisement to have
 a health message?
- A. I can't be sure. The ad is ambiguous. It simply asks a rhetorical question to suggest that you should smoke Kent and unless you read the fine print, you are not -- or the finer print, the text below the illustration, you do not get a reason why you should choose Kent. When you do do that, you get the two reasons presented in tandem of effective filtration and satisfying taste.
- Q. Unless we know whether Peter Rossi read the fine print, you can't determine what the message was that he received from this advertisement. Is that correct?
- A. I said it twice so I hope this is sufficient as the third time, the message of this ad is that you should choose Kent. The reasoning why is what is left ambiguous in the ad and perhaps is why the campaign is of such short duration.
- Q. Did Kent smokers understand this advertisement to have a message different than that?
 - A. I don't know. I don't recall if we

Does this advertisement contain a

Į

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

- Pollay direct 1 health message? 3 It reinforces the notion that this is an effective filter and gives parallel emphasis to 5 smoking pleasure and satisfying taste. 6 Q. Does any advertisement for a filter cigarette inherently include a health message? 7 No, or at least the health message 8 9 wouldn't be the predominant message of some filter 10 cigarette ads. 11 Q. Would any advertisement for a brand of filter cigarettes that advertises the effectiveness 12 13 of the filter contain a health message? Yes, I believe so. 14 Dr. Pollay, did Kent advertising 15 Q. influence Peter Rossi's decision to switch to Kent 16 17 cigarettes? 18 Yes, I believe so. 19 Were there any other influences on Peter Rossi's decision to switch to Kent cigarettes 20 21 other than Kent advertising? 22
 - A. There may have been other influences that convinced him that it was appropriate to switch to a filter cigarette. I would think that the Kent advertising would be the predominant

24

influence on why he chose Kent of the filters that are available when he did switch.

- Q. The Kent advertising was the predominant influence on his decision to switch to Kent. Were there other influences that were not predominant?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Which ones were they?
- A. As we mentioned before, all of the advertising on behalf of filters suggests that there may be a health problem for which filters are an effective response and there were some stories in circulation throughout the fifties that was suggesting the growing popularity of filters and some of the allegations about the health consequences of smoking.
- Q. Did these articles appear in publications which Peter Rossi read?
- A. The articles about the health consequences of smoking and the refutations to those articles were commonplace so they appeared in almost all newspapers, magazines, at least news magazines and less frequently on radio and television.

Pollay - direct

- Q. What about the articles concerning growing popularity of filter cigarettes, did they appear in publications read by Peter Rossi?
- A. They most likely would have been an element in other stories rather than the feature of a story itself but the fact of the growing popularity of cigarettes and the growing amount of advertising for filtered cigarettes would have been obvious to anyone living in this society.
- Q. Dr. Pollay, which Kent advertisements, in your opinion, influenced Peter Rossi's decision to switch to Kent cigarettes?
- A. All the advertisements that he had seen preceding his switch would have an influence and that's how advertising works, on a cumulative basis, gradually changing perceptions and attitudes.
- Q. You used the term "seen" in your answer. Are you intending to say something different than exposed to?
- A. No, but what I mean is -- exposed to is perhaps better because that would also include radio advertising in addition to the television and print and billboard.

- Q. So all Kent advertisements he was exposed to prior to this switching influenced his decision?
- A. Yes, would contribute to his decision, yes.
- Q. And did all advertisements for other brands of filter cigarettes influence his decision to switch to Kent?
- A. I believe they would play a role. They would be part of the influences on his decision to switch to a filter and then he would weigh all of the alternative filters on the basis of his perceptions of those and each of those perceptions would have been shaped by the advertising he had seen for those various products over the years in which they were advertised.
- Q. Is your opinion without limitation in time, meaning this: If there were a filter advertisement in 1940 that Peter Rossi was exposed to, would that have influenced his decision to switch to Kent?
- A. I think that would be far less likely.

 Obviously, I think the advertising of the fifties,

 during the period in which filters were becoming

```
Pollay - direct
 1
 2
     dramatically more commonplace and popular and were
 3
     being promoted in response to the health concerns,
     would be those that would have the greater
 5
     influence than something more remote in time.
 6
                  MS. WALTERS: Are you almost finished
 7
     for today?
                  MR. ALLINDER:
                                 I have one more minute
 9
     by my clock.
10
                 MS. WALTERS:
                                Okay.
11
                 MR. DUKE: Actually, there are two and
     a half.
12
13
                 MR. ALLINDER: Of course, if we
14
     continue to talk, we will use up all the time.
15
     Paul is representing that --
16
                 MR. DUKE: Go ahead. New Jersey Bell
17
     gets the time from me.
18
                 In your opinion, did cigarette
     advertisements for filtered brands prior to 1955
19
20
     influence Peter Rossi's decision to switch to Kent?
21
          Α.
                 Yes.
22
                 Prior to 1954?
          Q.
23
          Α.
                 Yes.
24
                 153?
          Q.
25
                 Yes.
          Α.
```

CERTIFICATE

I, GARY M. TALPINS, a Notary Public and
Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New
Jersey, do hereby certify that prior to the
commencement of the examination, RICHARD W. POLLAY
was duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the

whole truth and nothing but the truth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my ability.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

Gary M. Talpins, O.S.R. License No. XI00561

00/00 400